



Pactiv Evergreen, Inc.

2024 CDP Corporate Questionnaire 2024

Word version

Important: this export excludes unanswered questions

This document is an export of your organization's CDP questionnaire response. It contains all data points for questions that are answered or in progress. There may be questions or data points that you have been requested to provide, which are missing from this document because they are currently unanswered. Please note that it is your responsibility to verify that your questionnaire response is complete prior to submission. CDP will not be liable for any failure to do so.

[Terms of disclosure for corporate questionnaire 2024 - CDP](#)

Contents

C1. Introduction.....	9
(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.	9
(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.	9
(1.4.1) What is your organization’s annual revenue for the reporting period?	10
(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.	11
(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?	11
(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?	13
(1.8.1) Please provide all available geolocation data for your facilities.	13
(1.11) Are greenhouse gas emissions and/or water-related impacts from the production, processing/manufacturing, distribution activities or the consumption of your products relevant to your current CDP disclosure?	49
(1.22) Provide details on the commodities that you produce and/or source.	50
(1.23) Which of the following agricultural commodities that your organization produces and/or sources are the most significant to your business by revenue?.....	52
(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?	56
(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of?	57
(1.24.2) Which commodities has your organization mapped in your upstream value chain (i.e., supply chain)?	57
C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities.....	59
(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?	59
(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?	60
(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?.....	60
(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization’s process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.	61
(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?	67
(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?	67
(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?	68
(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?	70

(2.5.1) Describe how your organization minimizes the adverse impacts of potential water pollutants on water ecosystems or human health associated with your activities.	71
---	----

C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities 75

(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?	75
(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.	75
(3.2) Within each river basin, how many facilities are exposed to substantive effects of water-related risks, and what percentage of your total number of facilities does this represent?	94
(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?	98
(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?	98
(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.	99

C4. Governance 108

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?	108
(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?	108
(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board’s oversight of environmental issues.	109
(4.2) Does your organization’s board have competency on environmental issues?	114
(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?	115
(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).	115
(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?	120
(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?	121
(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.	121
(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?	127
(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?	128
(4.11.1) On what policies, laws, or regulations that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment has your organization been engaging directly with policy makers in the reporting year?	128

(4.11.2) Provide details of your indirect engagement on policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment through trade associations or other intermediary organizations or individuals in the reporting year.	129
(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization’s response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.	131

C5. Business strategy 133

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?	133
(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization’s scenario analysis.	134
(5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization’s scenario analysis.	139
(5.2) Does your organization’s strategy include a climate transition plan?	141
(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?	143
(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.	143
(5.4) In your organization’s financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization’s climate transition?	146
(5.9) What is the trend in your organization’s water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?	146
(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?	147
(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?	147
(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?	149
(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?	150
(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization’s purchasing process?	151
(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization’s purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.	153
(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization’s supplier engagement on environmental issues.	154
(5.11.8) Provide details of any environmental smallholder engagement activity	157
(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.	158
(5.12) Indicate any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives you could collaborate on with specific CDP Supply Chain members.	163
(5.13) Has your organization already implemented any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement?	163
(5.13.1) Specify the CDP Supply Chain members that have prompted your implementation of mutually beneficial environmental initiatives and provide information on the initiatives.	163

C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach 163

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.....	164
--	-----

C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change..... 166

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?	166
(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?.....	166
(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?	166
(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.	167
(7.3) Describe your organization’s approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.	167
(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?	168
(7.4.1) Provide details of the sources of Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure.	168
(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.	168
(7.6) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?.....	177
(7.7) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?.....	178
(7.8) Account for your organization’s gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.	180
(7.8.1) Disclose or restate your Scope 3 emissions data for previous years.	191
(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.	193
(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.....	194
(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.	195
(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?	196
(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.	196
(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?	202
(7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?	203
(7.12.1) Provide the emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization in metric tons CO2.	203
(7.13) Is biogenic carbon pertaining to your direct operations relevant to your current CDP climate change disclosure?.....	203
(7.13.1) Account for biogenic carbon data pertaining to your direct operations and identify any exclusions.	203
(7.14) Do you calculate greenhouse gas emissions for each agricultural commodity reported as significant to your business?	205

(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?	206
(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.	206
(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.	207
(7.17.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business division.	207
(7.18) Do you include emissions pertaining to your business activity(ies) in your direct operations as part of your global gross Scope 1 figure?	208
(7.18.2) Report the Scope 1 emissions pertaining to your business activity(ies) and explain any exclusions. If applicable, disaggregate your agricultural/forestry by GHG emissions category.	208
(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.	209
(7.20.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business division.	209
(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.	210
(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?.....	211
(7.26) Allocate your emissions to your customers listed below according to the goods or services you have sold them in this reporting period.	211
(7.27) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?.....	211
(7.28) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?	212
(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?	212
(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.	212
(7.30.1) Report your organization’s energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.....	213
(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization’s consumption of fuel.	216
(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.	216
(7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.	224
(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.	226
(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.	230
(7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.	233
(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?	234
(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.	234
(7.53.3) Explain why you did not have an emissions target, and forecast how your emissions will change over the next five years.	245
(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?.....	246
(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.	246

(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.....	246
(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.	247
(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?.....	252
(7.68) Do you encourage your suppliers to undertake any agricultural or forest management practices with climate change mitigation and/or adaptation benefits?.....	253
(7.68.1) Specify which agricultural or forest management practices with climate change mitigation and/or adaptation benefits you encourage your suppliers to undertake and describe your role in the implementation of each practice.....	253
(7.68.2) Do you collect information from your suppliers about the outcomes of any implemented agricultural/forest management practices you have encouraged?	255
(7.70) Do you know if any of the management practices mentioned in 7.68.1 that were implemented by your suppliers have other impacts besides climate change mitigation/adaptation?	255
(7.70.1) Provide details of those management practices implemented by your suppliers that have other impacts besides climate change mitigation/adaptation.	255
(7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization’s goods or services?.....	256
(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?.....	256
(7.74.1) Provide details of your products and/or services that you classify as low-carbon products.....	256
(7.79) Has your organization canceled any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?.....	257

C8. Environmental performance - Forests 258

(8.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of forests-related data?.....	258
(8.2) Provide a breakdown of your disclosure volume per commodity.	258
(8.5) Provide details on the origins of your sourced volumes.	258
(8.7) Did your organization have a no-deforestation or no-conversion target, or any other targets for sustainable production/ sourcing of your disclosed commodities, active in the reporting year?	260
(8.7.1) Provide details on your no-deforestation or no-conversion target that was active during the reporting year.....	261
(8.7.2) Provide details of other targets related to your commodities, including any which contribute to your no-deforestation or no-conversion target, and progress made against them.	261
(8.8) Indicate if your organization has a traceability system to determine the origins of your sourced volumes and provide details of the methods and tools used.....	265
(8.8.1) Provide details of the point to which your organization can trace its sourced volumes.	266
(8.9) Provide details of your organization's assessment of the deforestation-free (DF) or deforestation- and conversion-free (DCF) status of its disclosed commodities... 267	
(8.9.1) Provide details of third-party certification schemes used to determine the deforestation-free (DF) or deforestation- and conversion-free (DCF) status of the disclosure volume, since specified cutoff date.	268
(8.10) Indicate whether you have monitored or estimated the deforestation and conversion of other natural ecosystems footprint for your disclosed commodities.	269
(8.10.1) Provide details on the monitoring or estimating of your deforestation and conversion footprint.	269

(8.12) Indicate if certification details are available for the commodity volumes sold to requesting CDP Supply Chain members.....	270
(8.12.1) Provide details of the certified volumes sold to each requesting CDP Supply Chain member.	270
(8.13) Does your organization calculate the GHG emission reductions and/or removals from land use management and land use change that have occurred in your direct operations and/or upstream value chain?	270
(8.14) Indicate if you assess your own compliance and/or the compliance of your suppliers with forest regulations and/or mandatory standards, and provide details.	271
(8.15) Do you engage in landscape (including jurisdictional) initiatives to progress shared sustainable land use goals?	272
(8.16.1) Provide details of the external activities to support the implementation of your policies and commitments related to deforestation, ecosystem conversion, or human rights issues in commodity value chains.....	272

C9. Environmental performance - Water security..... 275

(9.1.1) Provide details on these exclusions.	275
(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?	282
(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?	287
(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.	290
(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.	292
(9.2.10) Provide details of your organization’s emissions of nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and other priority substances to water in the reporting year.	295
(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?	295
(9.3.1) For each facility referenced in 9.3, provide coordinates, water accounting data, and a comparison with the previous reporting year.	296
(9.3.2) For the facilities in your direct operations referenced in 9.3.1, what proportion of water accounting data has been third party verified?	317
(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization’s total water withdrawal efficiency.	319
(9.12) Provide any available water intensity values for your organization’s products or services.	320
(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?	321
(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?	321
(9.15.3) Why do you not have water-related target(s) and what are your plans to develop these in the future?.....	321

C10. Environmental performance - Plastics 323

(10.1) Do you have plastics-related targets, and if so what type?	323
(10.2) Indicate whether your organization engages in the following activities.	323

(10.5) Provide the total weight of plastic packaging sold and/or used and indicate the raw material content	326
(10.5.1) Indicate the circularity potential of the plastic packaging you sold and/or used.....	327
C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity	328
(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?	328
(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?.....	328
(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year?.....	328
C13. Further information & sign off	330
(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?	330
(13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.	330
(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.	330

C1. Introduction

(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.

(1.3.2) Organization type

Select from:

Publicly traded organization

(1.3.3) Description of organization

Pactiv Evergreen is one of the largest manufacturers of fresh food and beverage packaging in North America. We produce a broad range of on-trend and feature rich products that protect, package and display food and beverages for today's consumers, who want to eat or drink fresh, prepared or ready-to-eat food and drinks conveniently and with confidence. Our 14,000 products range from food containers, plates and bowls, hot and cold cups, lids, wraps and cutlery to meat and poultry trays, uncoated and coated paperboard, egg cartons and re-closeable beverage cartons. We supply our products to a broad and diversified mix of companies, including full and quick service restaurants, foodservice distributors, supermarkets, retailers, food and beverage producers, food packers and processors. Through our broad product offering and focus on innovation, we bring our customers a value proposition that make Pactiv Evergreen a "one-stop-shop" and strategic partner to our customers. This reporting was prepared by Pactiv Evergreen based on internal calculations. Unless otherwise indicated, information is from the 2023 calendar year, and data is accurate as of December 31, 2023. We provide external limited assurance on Scope 1 and 2 (location-based) greenhouse gas emissions and intensity and energy consumption and intensity. Data parameters and calculation methods use a combination of international, government, industry and company standards and protocols. Pactiv Evergreen made a reasonable effort to ensure the information presented is accurate and complete. We continue to evaluate issues that are material to our ESG strategy, expand our disclosures, and refine our methodology. The materiality standards we have used in this reporting and in our internal review processes may differ from the standards that are applied in other contexts. For example, matters that we deem responsive to applicable questions and for purposes of determining our ESG strategies may not be considered material under applicable securities laws. Pactiv Evergreen Inc. is a public company whose shares trade on the Nasdaq Stock Market under the trading symbol "PTVE." Pactiv Evergreen files its audited annual financial statements and quarterly unaudited financial statements with the SEC. Among other things, these financial statements report, on a consolidated basis, the net assets, net income and net cash flow of Pactiv Evergreen and its direct and indirect subsidiaries. Pactiv Evergreen does not issue separate financial statements for its individual subsidiaries. Note that the information in this report relates to the activities of the United States (U.S.), Canadian and Mexican operations of Pactiv Evergreen and its subsidiaries during the reporting year. Facilities, vehicles and forklifts included in our organizational boundary are those owned or leased and over which we have operational control. Facilities for the purpose of this management assertion letter refers to our mill facilities, manufacturing facilities, distribution facilities and office facilities.

[Fixed row]

(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.

(1.4.1) End date of reporting year

12/31/2023

(1.4.2) Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period

Select from:

Yes

(1.4.3) Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years

Select from:

Yes

(1.4.4) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 1 emissions data for

Select from:

1 year

(1.4.5) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 2 emissions data for

Select from:

1 year

(1.4.6) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 3 emissions data for

Select from:

1 year

[Fixed row]

(1.4.1) What is your organization's annual revenue for the reporting period?

5510000000

(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.

(1.5.1) Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?

Select from:

No

(1.5.2) How does your reporting boundary differ to that used in your financial statement?

GHG inventories have been calculated using the operational control organizational boundary approach to emissions aggregation, while our financial statement uses a financial control boundary. Upon evaluating the greenhouse gas inventory creation process, the operational control organizational boundary approach is reasonable and reflective of PTVE's boundaries. The information in this reporting relates to the activities of the United States (U.S.), Canadian and Mexican operations of Pactiv Evergreen and its subsidiaries during the reporting year. Facilities, vehicles and forklifts included in our organizational boundary are owned or leased and over which we have operational control. Facilities, for the purpose of this reporting, refer to our mill facilities, manufacturing facilities, distribution facilities and office facilities. Information related to divested businesses is excluded from the metrics for the entire reporting year in the year divested. As such, the information presented in this report excludes our international closures operations that were divested in 2023. There were no acquired businesses during the reporting year.

[Fixed row]

(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

ISIN code - equity

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

US69526K1051

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

69526K105

Ticker symbol

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

PTVE

SEDOL code

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

LEI number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

D-U-N-S number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

Other unique identifier

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

[Add row]

(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?

	Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?	Comment
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, for all facilities	See below

[Fixed row]

(1.8.1) Please provide all available geolocation data for your facilities.

Row 1

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Salisbury

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.596067

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.516398

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 2

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Athens

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.979313

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-83.388749

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 3

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Raleigh

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.75097

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-78.640645

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 4

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Calgary

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

51.057164

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-113.393541

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 5

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Greensboro

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.089699

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-79.933628

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 6

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Zapopan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

20.716806

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-103.455246

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 7

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fresno

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.673804

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-119.711057

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 8

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Waynesville

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.511617

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.971845

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 9

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Monterrey

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

25.792696

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-100.164807

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 10

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Pine Bluff

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.219206

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-91.909906

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 11

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Summerstown

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.063354

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-74.562351

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 13

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Franklin Park

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.846266

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.690749

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 14

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Plattsburgh

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

44.711771

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-73.452951

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 15

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Abilene

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.458924

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-99.810275

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 16

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Santa Fe Springs

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.961427

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-118.056224

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 17

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

London

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.999491

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-81.142364

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 18

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Temple

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.129635

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.337982

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 20

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Huntersville

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.446024

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.838484

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 21

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Moorhead

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

46.841771

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.706677

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 22

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Kinston

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.205977

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-77.657605

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 23

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Bolton

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.851786

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-79.706267

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 24

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Stockton

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.89416

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-121.259861

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 25

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Manteno

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.10806

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.846024

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 26

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Downingtown (Boot Road)

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.006255

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-75.67723

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 27

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Jalostotitlan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

21.167823

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-102.467374

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 28

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Frankfort

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.490546

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.846616

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 29

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Macon

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.693642

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-83.670073

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 30

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Lake Forest (HQ)

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.241162

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.893421

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 31

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Columbus

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.01131

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-83.1246

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 32

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Kalamazoo (EVG)

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.267006

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.550402

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 33

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Davisville

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.19904

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-81.488951

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 34

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Greenville

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.852617

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.39401

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 35

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Turlock

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.491616

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-120.86607

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 36

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Kalamazoo (HQ)

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.256576

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.573029

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 37

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Plant City

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

28.017322

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.10125

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 38

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Grottoes

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.258044

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-78.825407

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 39

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

St. Charles

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.917275

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-88.277444

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 40

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Covington

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.611819

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-83.846

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 41

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Olmsted Falls

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.376266

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-81.911062

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 42

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Canton

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.531268

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.840621

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 43

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Corsicana

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.084915

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.528172

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 44

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Bakersfield

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.42858

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-119.060212

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 45

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Beech Island

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.394913

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-81.887743

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 46

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

San Bernardino

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.087516

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.263871

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 47

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Pioneer

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.417579

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-84.314099

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 49

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Hazleton

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.968306

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-76.020176

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 50

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Bridgeview

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.746204

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.810152

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 51

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Romeoville

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.609456

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-88.114981

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 52

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Mooreville

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.631503

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.78674

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 53

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Canandaigua

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.911597

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-77.318104

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 54

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Middleton

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.99883

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.894206

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 55

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Woodridge

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.696144

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-88.033707

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 56

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Piedmont

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.702338

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.464571

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 57

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Conyers

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.719178

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-84.002061

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 58

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Burley

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.527061

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-113.812955

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 59

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Mineral Wells

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.174111

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-81.535185

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 60

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Chihuahua

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

28.716884

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-106.114848

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 61

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Kalamazoo (FK)

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.250516

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.541586

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 62

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Cornwall

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.041794

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-74.674683

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 63

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tlaxcala

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

19.172417

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-98.245913

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 64

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Cedar Rapids

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.955073

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-91.678969

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 65

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Mount Carmel

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.785595

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-76.440595

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 66

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Downingtown (Woodbine)

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.006766

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-75.682994

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 67

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Bedford Park

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.761865

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.768527

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 68

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Grant Park

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.243302

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.644419

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 69

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Menifee

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.148698

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-92.555343

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 70

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Mountain Top

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.125534

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-75.890066

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 71

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Malvern

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.36975

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-92.839331

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 72

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Richmond Hill

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.873675

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-79.385416

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 73

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Aberdeen

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.138891

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-79.437683

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

[Add row]

(1.11) Are greenhouse gas emissions and/or water-related impacts from the production, processing/manufacturing, distribution activities or the consumption of your products relevant to your current CDP disclosure?

Production

(1.11.1) Relevance of emissions and/or water-related impacts

Select from:

Value chain (excluding own land)

(1.11.2) Primary reason emissions and/or water-related impacts from this activity are not relevant

Select from:

Do not own/manage land

(1.11.3) Explain why emissions and/or water-related impacts from this activity are not relevant

We do not own forest land.

Processing/ Manufacturing

(1.11.1) Relevance of emissions and/or water-related impacts

Select from:

Both direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

Distribution

(1.11.1) Relevance of emissions and/or water-related impacts

Select from:

Both direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

Consumption

(1.11.1) Relevance of emissions and/or water-related impacts

Select from:

No

(1.11.2) Primary reason emissions and/or water-related impacts from this activity are not relevant

Select from:

Outside the value chain of my organization

(1.11.3) Explain why emissions and/or water-related impacts from this activity are not relevant

Was determined not material during inventory materiality analysis.

[Fixed row]

(1.22) Provide details on the commodities that you produce and/or source.

Timber products

(1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

Sourced

(1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage

Select all that apply

Manufacturing

(1.22.4) Indicate if you are providing the total commodity volume that is produced and/or sourced

Select from:

- Yes, we are providing the total volume

(1.22.5) Total commodity volume (metric tons)

2258330

(1.22.8) Did you convert the total commodity volume from another unit to metric tons?

Select from:

- Yes

(1.22.9) Original unit

Select all that apply

- Pounds

(1.22.10) Provide details of the methods, conversion factors used and the total commodity volume in the original unit

Converted from lbs: Divided 4,978,759,887 lbs procured wood chips, paper and fiber by 2204.62 to obtain metric tons.

(1.22.11) Form of commodity

Select all that apply

- Hardwood logs
- Paper
- Pulp
- Sawn timber, veneer, chips
- Softwood logs

(1.22.12) % of procurement spend

Select from:

- 21-30%

(1.22.13) % of revenue dependent on commodity

Select from:

21-30%

(1.22.14) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?

Select from:

Yes, disclosing

(1.22.15) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?

Select from:

Yes

(1.22.19) Please explain

Pactiv Evergreen purchases hardwood logs, softwood logs, and chips for use in our Pine Bluff, AR and Canton, NC mills. The paper and paperboard manufactured at these mills is either transferred to internal converting facilities for further processing or sold to the external market. The Canton and Pine Bluff mills are certified to the Forest Stewardship Council Controlled Wood and Chain-of-Custody standards, the Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification Chain-of-Custody standard, and the Sustainable Forestry Initiative Certified Sourcing and Chain-of-Custody standards. In addition, all paperboard purchased from outside suppliers meets certified sourcing standards. Our company manufactures food and beverage packaging from resins, aluminum, paper and other fiber-based materials. Our fiber and paper-based products are dependent on timber products, including roundwood logs, wood chips, pulp, and coated paperboard. We have calculated that in 2023, our fiber-based packaging products made up approximately 30% of our sales revenue.

[Fixed row]

(1.23) Which of the following agricultural commodities that your organization produces and/or sources are the most significant to your business by revenue?

Cotton

(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

No

Dairy & egg products

(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

No

Fish and seafood from aquaculture

(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

No

Fruit

(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

No

Maize/corn

(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

No

Nuts

(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

No

Other grain (e.g., barley, oats)

(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

No

Other oilseeds (e.g. rapeseed oil)

(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

No

Poultry & hog

(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

No

Rice

(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

No

Sugar

(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

No

Tea

(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

No

Tobacco

(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

No

Vegetable

(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

No

Wheat

(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

No

Other commodity

(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

No

[Fixed row]

(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?

(1.24.1) Value chain mapped

Select from:

Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping our value chain

(1.24.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

Select all that apply

Upstream value chain

Downstream value chain

(1.24.3) Highest supplier tier mapped

Select from:

Tier 1 suppliers

(1.24.4) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped

Select from:

All supplier tiers known have been mapped

(1.24.6) Smallholder inclusion in mapping

Select from:

Smallholders relevant and included

(1.24.7) Description of mapping process and coverage

For our procured fiber, all wood chip input material originates from company-owned or third-party chip mills or as residual chips from third-party sawmills. Pactiv Evergreen also purchases market pulp. Suppliers of market pulp are required to hold valid FSC or SFI certification. All paperboard purchased from outside suppliers meets certified sourcing standards.

[Fixed row]

(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of?

	Plastics mapping	Value chain stages covered in mapping
	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping plastics in our value chain</p>	<p>Select all that apply</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Upstream value chain</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Downstream value chain</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Direct Operations</p>

[Fixed row]

(1.24.2) Which commodities has your organization mapped in your upstream value chain (i.e., supply chain)?

Timber products

(1.24.2.1) Value chain mapped for this sourced commodity

Select from:

Yes

(1.24.2.2) Highest supplier tier mapped for this sourced commodity

Select from:

Tier 1 suppliers

(1.24.2.3) % of tier 1 suppliers mapped

Select from:

100%

(1.24.2.7) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped for this sourced commodity

Select from:

All supplier tiers known have been mapped for this sourced commodity

[Fixed row]

C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Short-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

1

(2.1.3) To (years)

5

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

Same as strategic and financial planning

Medium-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

5

(2.1.3) To (years)

10

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

Same as strategic and financial planning

Long-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

10

(2.1.2) Is your long-term time horizon open ended?

Select from:

Yes

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

Same as strategic and financial planning

[Fixed row]

(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?

	Process in place	Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both dependencies and impacts

[Fixed row]

(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?

	Process in place	Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process	Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both risks and opportunities	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization’s process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.

Row 1

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Forests
- Water
- Plastics

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Dependencies
- Impacts

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- Direct operations

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

- Full

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

- Qualitative and quantitative

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

- Annually

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- Short-term

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- Not location specific

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Enterprise Risk Management

- Internal company methods

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- Customers
- Employees
- Investors
- Suppliers
- Regulators

- Local communities

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

- Yes

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

This year, we have evaluated critical enterprise processes related to sustainability and their impacts and dependencies within the organization and stakeholders. Additionally, we execute a water stress analysis annually (see Water Security).

Row 3

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Climate change

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Risks
- Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- Direct operations
- Upstream value chain
- Downstream value chain

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

- Full

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

- Tier 1 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

- Qualitative and quantitative

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

- As important matters arise

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- Medium-term
- Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

- Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- Site-specific

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Enterprise Risk Management

- Enterprise Risk Management
- Internal company methods

International methodologies and standards

- IPCC Climate Change Projections

Other

- External consultants
- Internal company methods
- Materiality assessment
- Partner and stakeholder consultation/analysis
- Scenario analysis

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

- Drought
- Tornado
- Wildfires
- Heat waves
- Cyclones, hurricanes, typhoons
- Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice)
- Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)
- Storm (including blizzards, dust, and sandstorms)

Chronic physical

- Change in land-use

- Changing temperature (air, freshwater, marine water)
- Increased severity of extreme weather events
- Water stress

Policy

- Carbon pricing mechanisms
- Changes to international law and bilateral agreements
- Changes to national legislation

Market

- Changing customer behavior

Reputation

- Increased partner and stakeholder concern and partner and stakeholder negative feedback

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- Customers
- Employees
- Investors
- Suppliers
- Regulators
- Local communities

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

- No

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

In 2022, we conducted a scenario analysis to better understand the physical and transitional risks that we believe are most likely impact our procurement, supply chain (from suppliers through customers) and operations in the long-term. The findings of this assessment will continue to help inform our strategy going forward. The

climate scenario analysis performed in 2022 has informed inform the prioritization of risks and opportunities our business should focus on. Further, it will form the basis of our future risk assessments including the establishment of processes for our business to identify, escalate and mitigate risks and opportunities. We have identified six material climate related risks and two opportunities. The majority of these risks have medium- to long-term impacts. The risks identified include the impact of: 1. Extreme weather events on raw material procurement and supply chain 2. Extreme weather events on operations 3. Temperature changes on operations 4. Carbon pricing and increased GHG costs 5. Increased regulatory obligations 6. Increased stakeholder concern. The opportunities identified include: 1. New product development through research and development and innovation 2. Resilience in procurement, supply chain and operations To assess climate-risks and opportunities in line with TCFD recommendations, we selected two International Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) scenarios: • Representative concentration pathways (RCP) 2.6 or well-below 2C: This scenario enables the assessment of reputational, legal, and regulatory transitional risks and their impact on driving collective action towards mitigating climate change and limiting the average global temperature increase to below 2C by 2100. • RCP 8.5 or business-as-usual: This scenario enables the assessment of increased frequency and intensity of acute and chronic physical risks such as storms and temperature increases and their impacts on PTVE’s procurement, supply chain (from suppliers to customers) and operations in a “business-as-usual” scenario. Note that while the time horizon for the scenario analysis was long term, we are assessing the impacts of the identified climate risks and opportunities in the short-term (1-5 years), medium-term (5-10 years) and long-term (10 or more).

[Add row]

(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?

	Interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed	Primary reason for not assessing interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities	Explain why you do not assess the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not an immediate strategic priority	Not an immediate strategic priority.

[Fixed row]

(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?

(2.3.1) Identification of priority locations

Select from:

Yes, we have identified priority locations

(2.3.2) Value chain stages where priority locations have been identified

Select all that apply

- Direct operations

(2.3.3) Types of priority locations identified

Sensitive locations

- Areas important for biodiversity
- Areas of limited water availability, flooding, and/or poor quality of water

Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities

- Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities relating to forests

(2.3.4) Description of process to identify priority locations

We rely on analysis from the Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas from the World Resources Institute to assess the water stress level of the regions where our plants are located. Assessments help us evolve our water management strategy, prioritizing facilities based on water usage and risk area. We are disclosing the list of high and extremely high-risk locations in this question. Additionally, in 2022, we undertook a forest loss analysis based on the forests supporting our mill operations. Our analysis showed no net forest loss for the decade from 2011 to 2021 for the entire sourcing area. In fact, the study results indicate an overall 10-year increase in forest cover, with significant gains in Pine Bluff, Arkansas.

(2.3.5) Will you be disclosing a list/spatial map of priority locations?

Select from:

- Yes, we will be disclosing the list/geospatial map of priority locations

(2.3.6) Provide a list and/or spatial map of priority locations

2023 PTVE Water Risk Map - High Risk Only.pdf

[Fixed row]

(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?

Risks

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

- Qualitative
- Quantitative

(2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Our risk matrix includes several indicators that are confidential.

(2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

- Absolute decrease

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

- Time horizon over which the effect occurs
- Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

More discussion on our risk factors can be found in our form 10-k: <https://investors.pactivevergreen.com/static-files/138b593f-ec00-4717-bc48-f7ad0b5f47e3>

Opportunities

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

- Qualitative
- Quantitative

(2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Our risk matrix includes several indicators that are confidential.

(2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

- Absolute increase

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

- Time horizon over which the effect occurs
 Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

N/A

[Add row]

(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?

(2.5.1) Identification and classification of potential water pollutants

Select from:

- Yes, we identify and classify our potential water pollutants

(2.5.2) How potential water pollutants are identified and classified

Potential water pollutants are typically identified by either state or local storm water or wastewater programs and/or regulations. These programs or regulations typically list potential pollutants that must be monitored, with the specific pollutants based on the type of facility operations. Under stormwater regulations/programs, the primary pollutants typically are solid-type materials that can be visually observed in run-off, although oil & grease testing is sometimes required. Under wastewater regulations/programs, the primary pollutants are typically biological oxygen demand (BOD), oil & grease and pH. would be solid-type materials and oil & grease.
[Fixed row]

(2.5.1) Describe how your organization minimizes the adverse impacts of potential water pollutants on water ecosystems or human health associated with your activities.

Row 1

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

- Oil

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

Pactiv Evergreen's operational processes are designed not to discharge any oil to wastewater or storm water. However, as Pactiv Evergreen uses oil in its equipment and uses water to cool this equipment, there is a small potential for minor amounts of oil to be inadvertently discharged to wastewater. Further minimizing the risk of oil discharge to wastewater is the fact that many facilities internally recycle process water.

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

- Direct operations

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

- Assessment of critical infrastructure and storage condition (leakages, spillages, pipe erosion etc.) and their resilience
- Industrial and chemical accidents prevention, preparedness, and response
- Water recycling
- Requirement for suppliers to comply with regulatory requirements

- Upgrading of process equipment/methods

(2.5.1.5) Please explain

To prevent inadvertent oil discharge to the wastewater/Publicly Owned Treatment Works, manufacturing equipment is regularly monitored and maintained, including the equipment used to internally recycle process water. Oil/water separators are also used where needed, and inside oil drums are stored on secondary containment. Spill kits are located in strategic locations throughout the facility. To prevent inadvertent oil discharge to stormwater, oil is stored inside whenever possible. Outside oil containers are provided with secondary containment. Oil deliveries and pick-ups are closely monitored by facility personnel, and spill response equipment is kept readily available. Where required, locations develop and implement Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasures (SPCC) Plans and Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plans (SWPPPs), both of which include specific preventative measures to further reduce the risk of oil being discharged to stormwater. Additionally, each location maintains an active emergency response plan to ensure inadvertent oil spills are promptly cleaned up. Training is also provided to select facility personnel to properly manage oil handling and take preventative measures to ensure no unauthorized discharge to wastewater or stormwater.

Row 2

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

- Microplastics and plastic particles

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

PTVE's operational processes at its facilities that use plastic in its manufacturing are designed not to discharge any plastics particles to wastewater or storm water. No significant amount of water is typically used in the plastic manufacturing process, and therefore plastic pellets are not typically expected to enter the wastewater.

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

- Direct operations

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

- Assessment of critical infrastructure and storage condition (leakages, spillages, pipe erosion etc.) and their resilience
- Implementation of integrated solid waste management systems

- Industrial and chemical accidents prevention, preparedness, and response
- Water recycling
- Requirement for suppliers to comply with regulatory requirements

(2.5.1.5) Please explain

To prevent inadvertent plastic pellets or scrap plastic discharge to the wastewater/POTW, collected mop water is often screened before being discharged to wastewater. In addition, the water used for the scrap plastic pellet conversion process is internally recycled and is screened to prevent any inadvertent plastic discharge. To prevent inadvertent plastic pellet or scrap plastic discharge to stormwater, resin unloading activities are carefully monitored by PTVE personnel, and catch pans are placed under resin unloading connections, with inadvertent pellet spills promptly cleaned up. Outside storage or conveyance of plastic pellets or scrap plastic is minimized where possible, and where present, is monitored and regularly inspected by facility personnel. Waste dumpsters that could contain plastic pellets or scrap plastic are routinely inspected, with the area cleaned after the dumpster is removed from service. Where required, locations develop and implement Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plans (SWPPPs), which include specific preventative measures to further reduce the risk of plastic pellets or scrap plastic being discharged to stormwater. Additionally, each location maintains an active emergency response plan to ensure inadvertent plastic spills are promptly cleaned up. Training is also provided to select facility personnel to properly manage plastic pellet and scrap handling and take preventative measures to ensure no unauthorized discharge to stormwater.

Row 4

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

- Other nutrients and oxygen demanding pollutants

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

Most of Pactiv Evergreen's operational processes do not generate any wastewater with significant biological oxygen demand (BOD) concerns. However, the facilities that manufacture molded fiber egg cartons or similar products are relatively water-intensive and their wastewater discharge does have a potential for elevated BOD readings. Without proper process controls and treatment, the BOD could exceed locally permitted levels. If wastewater with elevated BOD levels reached the POTW, this could cause the POTW to discharge high BOD wastewater to a water body. However, in almost all cases, the POTW would have their own processes to treat and reduce the BOD levels, significantly reducing any risk to a water body.

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

- Direct operations

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

- Industrial and chemical accidents prevention, preparedness, and response
- Water recycling
- Discharge treatment using sector-specific processes to ensure compliance with regulatory requirements

(2.5.1.5) Please explain

To prevent elevated levels of BOD from being discharged in wastewater to the local POTW, equipment in the wastewater process (clarifiers, tanks, etc.) is regularly taken out of service for cleaning. This reduces the amount of organics that build up over time on this equipment, which could cause elevated BOD. In addition, other process related activity includes balancing water chemistry in the system and replacing equipment as needed to improve solids removal. Water treatment experts/contractors regularly visit these sites to ensure water chemistry is balanced and that there are no adverse effects on BOD from the water treatment chemicals in use at the site. As equipment ages over time and becomes less effective at removing organics/solids from the system, it is replaced as well. Finally, internal water recycling is balanced between water preservation/reuse and the potential build-up of organics in the water system over time, which can contribute to elevated BOD levels.

[Add row]

C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities

(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

	Environmental risks identified
Climate change	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain
Forests	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain
Water	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain
Plastics	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

[Fixed row]

(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

Risk1

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Acute physical

- Other acute physical risk, please specify :Extreme weather events: flooding, heatwaves, hurricanes, tornadoes, wildfires

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

- Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- Canada
- Mexico
- United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Extreme weather events

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- Disruption in production capacity

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Medium-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

Likely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

High

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Each weather event could result in additional operational costs ranging from approximately 5M to 50M annually. In addition to property damage and associated operational costs, weather events could also significantly increase labor costs needed to maintain productivity. In both the business-as-usual and well-below-2C scenarios, key portions of our operations could be adversely impacted in the future thereby reducing labor productivity. Between the two scenarios, we estimated that costs due to reduced labor productivity in the business-as-usual scenario could range from approximately 6M to 33M annually. Extreme weather events are mitigated through insurance, business continuity and emergency preparedness processes. Notably, our expansive manufacturing and warehousing footprints allow for production redundancy between geographies.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

Yes

(3.1.1.21) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – minimum (currency)

5000000

(3.1.1.22) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – maximum (currency)

83000000

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

The costs represent the potential annual combined costs of extreme weather events relative to property damage, supply chain disruption, and labor.

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Policies and plans

Other policies or plans, please specify :Extreme weather events are mitigated through insurance, business continuity and emergency preparedness processes. Notably, our expansive manufacturing and warehousing footprints allow for production redundancy between geographies.

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

N/A

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Extreme weather events are mitigated through insurance, business continuity and emergency preparedness processes. Notably, our expansive manufacturing and warehousing footprints allow for production redundancy between geographies.

Forests

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

Risk1

(3.1.1.2) Commodity

Select all that apply

Timber products

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Acute physical

Other acute physical risk, please specify :Extreme weather events: flooding, heatwaves, hurricanes, tornadoes, wildfires

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

- Upstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Extreme weather events

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- Disruption in upstream value chain

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Medium-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- Likely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

- High

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

To assess the impacts on our procurement and supply chain processes, we used 2021 supplier spend data by county and mapped it to the National Risk Index to identify counties most at risk from natural disasters. This helped us identify the most vulnerable areas within our procurement and supply chain processes under the two IPCC scenarios. In addition to property damages and associated operational costs, our business is also at risk of lower employee productivity due to extreme weather events wherein after a storm, workers may not be able to travel to facilities and/or due to broken machinery and equipment, production may be halted. To assess these impacts, we used the International Monetary Fund's (IMF) data on the effects of climate related events on economic performance, including employee productivity at our facilities. Extreme weather events could disrupt supply chain and procurement, especially in climate-sensitive geographies where our Tier 1 suppliers are located such as IL, TX, and GA. Extreme weather events are mitigated through insurance, business continuity and emergency preparedness processes. Notably, our expansive manufacturing and warehousing footprints allow for production redundancy between geographies.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

Yes

(3.1.1.21) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – minimum (currency)

5000000

(3.1.1.22) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – maximum (currency)

83000000

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

The costs represent the potential annual combined costs of extreme weather events relative to property damage, supply chain disruption, and labor.

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Policies and plans

Other policies or plans, please specify :Extreme weather events are mitigated through insurance, business continuity and emergency preparedness processes. Notably, our expansive manufacturing and warehousing footprints allow for production redundancy between geographies.

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

N/A

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Extreme weather events are mitigated through insurance, business continuity and emergency preparedness processes. Notably, our expansive manufacturing and warehousing footprints allow for production redundancy between geographies.

Water

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

Risk1

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Acute physical

Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice)

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

United States of America

(3.1.1.7) River basin where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- Other, please specify

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Heavy precipitation

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- Closure of operations

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- Likely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

- Medium-high

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

To assess the impacts on our procurement and supply chain processes, we used 2021 supplier spend data by county and mapped it to the National Risk Index to identify counties most at risk from natural disasters. This helped us identify the most vulnerable areas within our procurement and supply chain processes under the

two IPCC scenarios. In addition to property damages and associated operational costs, our business is also at risk of lower employee productivity due to extreme weather events wherein after a storm, workers may not be able to travel to facilities and/or due to broken machinery and equipment, production may be halted. To assess these impacts, we used the International Monetary Fund's (IMF) data on the effects of climate related events on economic performance, including employee productivity at our facilities. Extreme weather events could disrupt supply chain and procurement, especially in climate-sensitive geographies where our Tier 1 suppliers are located such as IL, TX, and GA. Extreme weather events are mitigated through insurance, business continuity and emergency preparedness processes. Notably, our expansive manufacturing and warehousing footprints allow for production redundancy between geographies.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

Yes

(3.1.1.19) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – minimum (currency)

5000000

(3.1.1.20) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – maximum (currency)

83000000

(3.1.1.21) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – minimum (currency)

5000000

(3.1.1.22) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – maximum (currency)

83000000

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

The costs represent the potential annual combined costs of extreme weather events relative to property damage, supply chain disruption, and labor.

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Policies and plans

Other policies or plans, please specify :Extreme weather events are mitigated through insurance, business continuity and emergency preparedness processes. Notably, our expansive manufacturing and warehousing footprints allow for production redundancy between geographies.

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

N/A

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Extreme weather events are mitigated through insurance, business continuity and emergency preparedness processes. Notably, our expansive manufacturing and warehousing footprints allow for production redundancy between geographies.

Plastics

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

Risk1

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Reputation

Increased partner and stakeholder concern or negative partner and stakeholder feedback

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

Downstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- Canada
- Mexico
- United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Increased stakeholder concern

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Please see comments

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Medium-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- More likely than not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

- Medium-high

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Our stakeholders include investors, customers, consumers, employees, and trade associations that we support. Given the influence of our stakeholder groups, we are increasingly facing pressure to publicly disclose sustainability information, develop long term strategies and growth plans, reduce our environmental footprint, and reduce our plastic waste. In case of inaction, we face the risk of reputational damages that can adversely impact our business in the following ways: • Inaction can result in the loss of investor confidence, thereby reducing our access to capital and our market valuation • Increased costs of raw materials, carbon prices and energy prices can result in increased product costs for customers and consumers, thereby adversely impacting our sales as customers and consumers not only opt for cheaper products but also eco-friendly products • We have noted a correlation between sustainability actions and employee satisfaction and retention so inaction can lead to reduced employee satisfaction and retention • Increased risk of fines from regulators, lawsuits, legal liabilities, and damage to our reputation with trade associations that can impact how PTVE is perceived in the industry and have a cascading impact on future profitability. We expect stakeholder concerns to pose greater risks to our business in the well-below-2C compared to the business-as-usual scenario.

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Policies and plans

Other policies or plans, please specify :See Opportunities

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

See Opportunities

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

Risk2

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Chronic physical

Changing temperature (air, freshwater, marine water)

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

- Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- Canada
- Mexico
- United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Changing temperatures

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- Increased indirect [operating] costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- Likely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

- High

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Rising temperatures or temperature changes could impact our operations either through increased energy costs or from heating or cooling at our facilities. To determine the potential impact, we assessed our 2021 energy costs from over 70 facilities in North America. Based on the US Department of Energy's report on the cost of climate change to the power sector, we estimated the financial impact in 2030, 2040 and 2050 from higher energy costs and increased heating or cooling at our facilities. In both scenarios, we expect our total energy expenditure could increase due to an expansion, operation and/or maintenance of the US power grids. The increase could be between approximately 35M to 51M annually from a 2021 baseline, depending on IPCC scenarios.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

Yes

(3.1.1.23) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – minimum (currency)

31000000

(3.1.1.24) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – maximum (currency)

51000000

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

The costs represent the potential annual energy costs increase from a 2021 baseline.

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Policies and plans

Develop a climate transition plan

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

N/A

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Mitigation through energy reduction initiatives through climate transition plan.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

Risk3

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Policy

Carbon pricing mechanisms

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

Canada

Mexico

United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- Increased indirect [operating] costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- Likely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

- High

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Globally, governments and policy makers are shifting towards implementing financial mechanisms to curb GHG emissions through the introduction of carbon taxes, as well as commitments to clean electricity and net emissions goals. Carbon taxes may apply to a company's direct and indirect emissions (Scopes 1 and 2) with the intention to incentivize companies to reduce their footprint. While Pactiv Evergreen does not pay carbon taxes or maintain an internal carbon price currently, this transition risk could impact our business in the long term and across the US, Canada, and Mexico. To measure our expected future carbon prices, we first projected our emissions increase between 2021 and 2050. Next, using carbon pricing data published by the International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, we applied appropriate carbon prices by country to our projected Scope 1 and 2 emissions to determine the total financial impact by 2050. Under the assumptions behind the well-below-2C scenario, we have assumed that US policy would impose an approximate annual carbon price of 350M by 2050 for our North American operations. One of the key risk mitigations is establishing a greenhouse gas emissions reduction target and developing and implementing our plans to achieve this target. Additionally, we intend to revise our sustainability strategy to easily identify and integrate climate risks associated with carbon emissions and pricing in our business. For example, we expect to focus on retrofitting our existing equipment at our emissions-intensive facilities to reduce our footprint and in the future our GHG costs.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

Yes

(3.1.1.23) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – minimum (currency)

350000000

(3.1.1.24) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – maximum (currency)

350000000

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

Using carbon pricing data published by the International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, we applied appropriate carbon prices by country to our projected Scope 1 and 2 emissions to determine the total financial impact by 2050. Under the well-below-2C scenario, we can project an annual carbon price of approximately 350M by 2050 for our North American operations.

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Policies and plans

Develop a climate transition plan

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

N/A

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Building on the progress we have made with recent changes reducing the emissions of our company's operating footprint, we intend to leverage innovative energy efficiency projects; increase our use and support of renewable energy; and partner with large suppliers to reduce their contributions to our Scope 3 emissions.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

Risk4

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Reputation

Increased partner and stakeholder concern or negative partner and stakeholder feedback

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

Downstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

Canada

Mexico

United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Increased stakeholder concern

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

Other, please specify :See comments

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

Medium-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

More likely than not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

Medium-high

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Our stakeholders include investors, customers, consumers, employees and trade associations that we support. Given the influence of our stakeholder groups, we are increasingly facing pressure to publicly disclose sustainability information, develop long term strategies and growth plans, reduce our environmental footprint, and reduce our plastic waste. In case of inaction, we face the risk of reputational damages that can adversely impact our business in the following ways: • Inaction can result in the loss of investor confidence, thereby reducing our access to capital and our market valuation • Increased costs of raw materials, carbon prices and energy prices can result in increased product costs for customers and consumers, thereby adversely impacting our sales as customers and consumers not only opt for cheaper products but also eco-friendly products • We have noted a correlation between sustainability actions and employee satisfaction and retention so inaction can lead to reduced employee satisfaction and retention • Increased risk of fines from regulators, lawsuits, legal liabilities, and damage to our reputation with trade associations that can impact how PTVE is perceived in the industry and have a cascading impact on future profitability. We expect stakeholder concerns to pose greater risks to our business in the well-below-2C compared to the business-as-usual scenario.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Policies and plans

Other policies or plans, please specify :See Opportunities

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

N/A

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

See Opportunities

[Add row]

(3.2) Within each river basin, how many facilities are exposed to substantive effects of water-related risks, and what percentage of your total number of facilities does this represent?

Row 1

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

Other, please specify :California

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

6

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

Unknown

(3.2.11) Please explain

Facility exposed to drought but with small amount of water used in operations.

Row 2

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

Other, please specify :Columbia and Northwestern United States

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

Unknown

(3.2.11) Please explain

Facility exposed to drought but with small amount of water used in operations.

Row 3

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

Bravo

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

Unknown

(3.2.11) Please explain

Facility exposed to drought but with small amount of water used in operations.

Row 4

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

Other, please specify :Lerma

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

Unknown

(3.2.11) Please explain

Facility exposed to drought but with small amount of water used in operations.

[Add row]

(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?

	Water-related regulatory violations	Fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties	Comment
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Enforcement orders or other penalties but none that are considered as significant	We received a limited number of notices of violation on wastewater permit exceedances but they were not considered significant.

[Fixed row]

(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized

Forests

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized

Water

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

No

(3.6.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental opportunities

Select from:

Opportunities exist, but none anticipated to have a substantive effect on organization

(3.6.3) Please explain

The majority of our water use is classified as nonconsumptive — used in our facility, treated and returned to the environment. With the closure of our Canton paper mill in May 2023, our intake has decreased significantly in the reporting year and is expected to decrease further in 2024 with the full-year effect of the closure.
[Fixed row]

(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

Opp1

(3.6.1.2) Commodity

Select all that apply

- Not applicable

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Products and services

- Development of new products or services through R&D and innovation

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

- Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

- Canada
- Mexico
- United States of America

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

New product development through research and development and innovation

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term

Long-term

The opportunity has already had a substantive effect on our organization in the reporting year

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

Very likely (90–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

High

(3.6.1.13) Effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the reporting period

The regulatory landscape and consumer preferences are pushing for sustainable materials and products and offer new opportunities to attract capital for sustainable packaging. We have an opportunity to advance our R&D efforts to develop new products to meet evolving regulatory pressures and stakeholder concerns, avoid carbon prices from reduced emissions associated with materials and packaging materials, and avoid costs from additional regulation and fines for non-compliance with existing and new rules for materials. We are committed to minimizing our environmental footprint. We currently have a goal that by 2030, 100% of our packaging products will be made with recycled, recyclable, or renewable materials (by associated net revenue). Our goal is well supported by our sustainability strategy and our forward-looking pathway to manage our climate risks. As of December 2023, we achieved 66% of our goal based on associated net revenues with a focus to achieve the remainder by 2030.

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

The regulatory landscape and consumer preferences are pushing for sustainable materials and products and offer new opportunities to attract capital for sustainable packaging. We have an opportunity to advance our R&D efforts to develop new products to meet evolving regulatory pressures and stakeholder concerns, avoid carbon prices from reduced emissions associated with materials and packaging materials, and avoid costs from additional regulation and fines for non-compliance with existing and new rules for materials. We are committed to minimizing our environmental footprint. We currently have a goal that by 2030, 100% of our packaging products will be made with recycled, recyclable, or renewable materials (by associated net revenue). Our goal is well supported by our sustainability strategy and our forward-looking pathway to manage our climate risks. As of December 2023, we achieved 66% of our goal based on associated net revenues with a focus to achieve the remainder by 2030.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

No

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

0

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

N/A

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

To effectively manage the risks and opportunities identified, we are aligning and integrating climate-related risks in our enterprise risk management (ERM) framework. This will help us efficiently identify, escalate and manage climate-risks to management and the Board to further help prioritize and mitigate the risks in a timely manner. Additionally, we are including climate-related resilience in our strategic path. Recent highlights include:

- Products and Services: By 2030, We currently have a goal that by 2030, 100% of our packaging products will be made with recycled, recyclable, or renewable materials (by associated net revenue). As of December 2023, we achieved 66% of our goal based on associated net revenues.*
- Supply Chain: In 2022, we started a systematic audit program with our suppliers using Sedex as an independent partner for supplier evaluation.*
- Operations and Financial Planning: As we develop our roadmap to achieve our goals to decrease absolute Scope 1 and 2 emissions by 42% and absolute Scope 3 emissions by 25% by the end of 2030, we are including carbon pricing in long-term financial planning as well as energy transition strategies, in particular for carbon-intensive locations.*

Forests

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

Opp3

(3.6.1.2) Commodity

Select all that apply

Timber products

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Resilience

- Increased resilience to impacts of climate change

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

- Upstream value chain

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

- United States of America

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Resilience in procurement, supply chain and operations:

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- Reduced indirect (operating) costs

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Medium-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- Likely (66–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

Medium-high

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

We are proactively investing in the development of more resilient supplier contracts, supply chains, operations and customer communications that will help minimize the economic impact of extreme weather related-damages in any scenario and carbon costs.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

No

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

0

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

N/A

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

To effectively manage the risks and opportunities identified, we are aligning and integrating climate-related risks in our enterprise risk management (ERM) framework. This will help us efficiently identify, escalate and manage climate-risks to management and the Board to further help prioritize and mitigate the risks in a timely manner. Additionally, we are including climate-related resilience in our strategic path. Recent highlights include:

- Products and Services: By 2030, We currently have a goal that by 2030, 100% of our packaging products will be made with recycled, recyclable, or renewable materials (by associated net revenue). As of December 2023, we achieved 66% of our goal based on associated net revenues.*
- Supply Chain: In 2022, we started a systematic audit program with our suppliers using Sedex as an independent partner for supplier evaluation.*
- Operations and Financial Planning: As we develop our roadmap to achieve our goals to decrease absolute Scope 1 and 2 emissions by 42% and absolute Scope 3 emissions by 25% by the end of 2030, we are including carbon pricing in long-term financial planning as well as energy transition strategies, in particular for carbon-intensive locations.*

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

- Opp2

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Resilience

- Increased resilience to impacts of climate change

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

- Upstream value chain

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

- Canada
- Mexico
- United States of America

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Resilience in procurement, supply chain and operations:

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- Reduced indirect (operating) costs

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Medium-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

Likely (66–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

Medium-high

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

We are proactively investing in the development of more resilient supplier contracts, supply chains, operations and customer communications that will help minimize the economic impact of extreme weather related-damages in any scenario and carbon costs.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

No

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

0

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

N/A

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

To effectively manage the risks and opportunities identified, we are aligning and integrating climate-related risks in our enterprise risk management (ERM) framework. This will help us efficiently identify, escalate and manage climate-risks to management and the Board to further help prioritize and mitigate the risks in a timely manner. Additionally, we are including climate-related resilience in our strategic path. Recent highlights include: • Products and Services: By 2030, 100% of our products will be made with recycled, recyclable, or renewable materials. As of December 2023, we achieved 66% of our goal based on net revenues. • Supply Chain: In 2022, we started a systematic audit program with our suppliers using Sedex as an independent partner for supplier evaluation. • Operations and Financial

Planning: As we develop our roadmap to achieve our goals to decrease absolute Scope 1 and 2 emissions by 42% and absolute Scope 3 emissions by 25% by the end of 2030, we are including carbon pricing in long-term financial planning as well as energy transition strategies, in particular for carbon-intensive locations
[Add row]

C4. Governance

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?

(4.1.1) Board of directors or equivalent governing body

Select from:

Yes

(4.1.2) Frequency with which the board or equivalent meets

Select from:

Quarterly

(4.1.3) Types of directors your board or equivalent is comprised of

Select all that apply

Executive directors or equivalent

Non-executive directors or equivalent

Independent non-executive directors or equivalent

(4.1.4) Board diversity and inclusion policy

Select from:

No

[Fixed row]

(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?

	Board-level oversight of this environmental issue
Climate change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Forests	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Water	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Biodiversity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues.

Climate change

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Chief Executive Officer (CEO)
- Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)
- Other C-Suite Officer
- Other, please specify :Full Board

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

No

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

Sporadic – agenda item as important matters arise

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

Overseeing and guiding scenario analysis

Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Approving corporate policies and/or commitments

Overseeing the setting of corporate targets

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

Environmental and climate risks are addressed regularly at the highest management level by the Executive Leadership Team (ELT). With input from the ELT, along with the Board of Directors, the main decision-making power concerning climate risks and strategies is concentrated in five roles: Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Operations Officer, Chief Legal Officer, Chief Growth Officer and Chief Sustainability Officer. The Board of Directors is currently comprised of seven directors. The Board maintains three standing committees: the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Nominating and Governance Committee. Each of the committees has a separate chairperson. While the Audit Committee is focused on ensuring compliance with financial standards and internal controls in the context of financial statements, the Compensation Committee and Nominating and Governance Committee focus on executive compensation, equity incentive planning, corporate governance, and performance measurement. As such, existing PTVE committees consider the social elements of sustainability through Board representation and fair compensation. Sustainability and climate-related topics are addressed by the full Board. Going forward, we seek to integrate environment-related topics into the Board agenda especially as we potentially face increasing climate risks. The governance mechanisms we are focused on integrating include oversight of environmental policies, sustainability strategies, business plans, performance objectives and sustainability performance. We integrate environmental-related topics into the Executive Leadership Team's agenda on a regular basis (more often than quarterly). We integrate environment-related topics into the Board of Directors agenda as matters arise.

Forests

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Chief Executive Officer (CEO)
- Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)
- Other C-Suite Officer
- Other, please specify :Full Board

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

- No

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

- Sporadic – agenda item as important matters arise

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- Overseeing and guiding scenario analysis
- Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Approving corporate policies and/or commitments
- Overseeing the setting of corporate targets

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

Environmental and climate risks are addressed regularly at the highest management level by the Executive Leadership Team (ELT). With input from the ELT, along with the Board of Directors, the main decision-making power concerning climate risks and strategies is concentrated in five roles: Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Operations Officer, Chief Legal Officer, Chief Growth Officer and Chief Sustainability Officer. The Board of Directors is currently comprised of seven directors. The Board maintains three standing committees: the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Nominating and Governance Committee. Each of the committees has a separate chairperson. While the Audit Committee is focused on ensuring compliance with financial standards and internal controls in the context of financial statements, the Compensation Committee and Nominating and Governance Committee focus on executive compensation, equity incentive planning, corporate governance, and performance measurement. As such, existing PTVE committees consider the social elements of sustainability through Board representation and fair compensation. Sustainability and climate-related topics are addressed by the full Board. Going forward, we seek to integrate environment-related topics into the Board agenda especially as we potentially face increasing climate risks. The governance mechanisms we are focused on integrating include oversight of environmental policies, sustainability strategies, business plans, performance objectives and sustainability performance. We integrate

environmental-related topics into the Executive Leadership Team's agenda on a regular basis (more often than quarterly). We integrate environment-related topics into the Board of Directors agenda as matters arise.

Water

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Chief Executive Officer (CEO)
- Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)
- Other C-Suite Officer
- Other, please specify :Full Board

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

- No

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

- Sporadic – agenda item as important matters arise

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- Overseeing and guiding scenario analysis
- Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Approving corporate policies and/or commitments
- Overseeing the setting of corporate targets

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

Environmental and climate risks are addressed regularly at the highest management level by the Executive Leadership Team (ELT). With input from the ELT, along with the Board of Directors, the main decision-making power concerning climate risks and strategies is concentrated in five roles: Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Operations Officer, Chief Legal Officer, Chief Growth Officer and Chief Sustainability Officer. The Board of Directors is currently comprised of seven directors. The Board maintains three standing committees: the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Nominating and Governance Committee. Each of the committees has a separate chairperson. While the Audit Committee is focused on ensuring compliance with financial standards and internal controls in the context of financial statements, the Compensation Committee and Nominating and Governance Committee focus on executive compensation, equity incentive planning, corporate governance, and performance measurement. As such, existing PTVE committees consider the social elements of sustainability through Board representation and fair compensation. Sustainability and climate-related topics are addressed by the full Board. Going forward, we seek to integrate environment-related topics into the Board agenda especially as we potentially face increasing climate risks. The governance mechanisms we are focused on integrating include oversight of environmental policies, sustainability strategies, business plans, performance objectives and sustainability performance. We integrate environmental-related topics into the Executive Leadership Team's agenda on a regular basis (more often than quarterly). We integrate environment-related topics into the Board of Directors agenda as matters arise.

Biodiversity

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Chief Executive Officer (CEO)
- Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)
- Other C-Suite Officer
- Other, please specify :Full Board

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

- No

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

- Sporadic – agenda item as important matters arise

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- Overseeing and guiding scenario analysis
- Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Approving corporate policies and/or commitments
- Overseeing the setting of corporate targets

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

Environmental and climate risks are addressed regularly at the highest management level by the Executive Leadership Team (ELT). With input from the ELT, along with the Board of Directors, the main decision-making power concerning climate risks and strategies is concentrated in five roles: Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Operations Officer, Chief Legal Officer, Chief Growth Officer and Chief Sustainability Officer. The Board of Directors is currently comprised of seven directors. The Board maintains three standing committees: the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Nominating and Governance Committee. Each of the committees has a separate chairperson. While the Audit Committee is focused on ensuring compliance with financial standards and internal controls in the context of financial statements, the Compensation Committee and Nominating and Governance Committee focus on executive compensation, equity incentive planning, corporate governance, and performance measurement. As such, existing PTVE committees consider the social elements of sustainability through Board representation and fair compensation. Sustainability and climate-related topics are addressed by the full Board. Going forward, we seek to integrate environment-related topics into the Board agenda especially as we potentially face increasing climate risks. The governance mechanisms we are focused on integrating include oversight of environmental policies, sustainability strategies, business plans, performance objectives and sustainability performance. We integrate environmental-related topics into the Executive Leadership Team's agenda on a regular basis (more often than quarterly). We integrate environment-related topics into the Board of Directors agenda as matters arise.

[Fixed row]

(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?

	Board-level competency on this environmental issue
Climate change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not assessed
Forests	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not assessed

	Board-level competency on this environmental issue
Water	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not assessed

[Fixed row]

(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?

	Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue
Climate change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Forests	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Water	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Biodiversity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

- Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Reports to Chief Growth Officer

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- As important matters arise

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The Chief Growth Officer reports regularly to the leadership team and as matters arise to the Board of Directors.

Forests

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

- Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Policies, commitments, and targets

- Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- Measuring progress towards environmental science-based targets
- Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

- Conducting environmental scenario analysis
- Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Chief Growth Officer

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- As important matters arise

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The Chief Growth Officer reports regularly to the leadership team and as matters arise to the Board of Directors.

Water

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

- Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Policies, commitments, and targets

- Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- Measuring progress towards environmental science-based targets
- Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

- Conducting environmental scenario analysis
- Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Chief Growth Officer

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- Not reported to the board

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The Chief Growth Officer reports regularly to the leadership team and as matters arise to the Board of Directors.

Biodiversity

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

- Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Policies, commitments, and targets

- Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- Measuring progress towards environmental science-based targets
- Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

- Conducting environmental scenario analysis
- Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues

- Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Chief Growth Officer

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- Not reported to the board

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The Chief Growth Officer reports regularly to the leadership team and as matters arise to the Board of Directors.

[Add row]

(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?

	Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue	Please explain
Climate change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to introduce them in the next two years	N/A
Forests	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to introduce them in the next two years	N/A

	Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue	Please explain
Water	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to introduce them in the next two years	N/A

[Fixed row]

(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?

	Does your organization have any environmental policies?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.

Row 1

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Forests
- Water

- Biodiversity

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- Direct operations

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

Global Environment, Health, & Safety Statement

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

- Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards
- Commitment to take environmental action beyond regulatory compliance

Climate-specific commitments

- Other climate-related commitment, please specify :reduce greenhouse gas emissions and energy usage

Water-specific commitments

- Commitment to reduce water consumption volumes

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

- No, and we do not plan to align in the next two years

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

- Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

2022 Pactiv Evergreen Environmental Health and Safety Policy.pdf

Row 2

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- Forests
- Biodiversity

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- Direct operations
- Upstream value chain

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

Sustainable Forestry Policy

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

- Commitment to take environmental action beyond regulatory compliance

- Commitment to implementation of nature-based solutions that support landscape restoration and long-term protection of natural ecosystems
- Other environmental commitment, please specify :Source forest-based raw material from sustainably managed, certified chain of custody and noncontroversial sources.

Social commitments

- Commitment to respect and protect the customary rights to land, resources, and territory of Indigenous Peoples and Local Communities

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

- No, and we do not plan to align in the next two years

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

- Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

PTVE Sustainable Forestry Policy FINAL April 2024.pdf

Row 3

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- Forests
- Biodiversity

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- Direct operations
- Upstream value chain

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

Commitment to Zero Net Deforestation

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

- Commitment to take environmental action beyond regulatory compliance
- Commitment to engage in integrated, multi-stakeholder landscape (including river basin) initiatives to promote shared sustainability goals
- Commitment to implementation of nature-based solutions that support landscape restoration and long-term protection of natural ecosystems
- Commitment to No Net Loss
- Commitment to respect legally designated protected areas

Forests-specific commitments

- Commitment to no-deforestation by target date, please specify :Already achieved
- Commitment to the use of the High Conservation Value (HCV) approach

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

- No, and we do not plan to align in the next two years

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

- Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

PTVE Zero Net Deforestation Commitment 2024.pdf

Row 4

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Forests
- Water

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- Direct operations

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

Code of Conduct

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Social commitments

- Adoption of the UN International Labour Organization principles
- Commitment to respect internationally recognized human rights

Additional references/Descriptions

- Description of grievance/whistleblower mechanism to monitor non-compliance with the environmental policy and raise/address/escalate any other greenwashing concerns

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

- No, and we do not plan to align in the next two years

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

- Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

Pactiv Evergreen Code of Conduct 2024.pdf

[Add row]

(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

(4.10.1) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

Select from:

- Yes

(4.10.2) Collaborative framework or initiative

Select all that apply

- Forest Stewardship Council (FSC)
- Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC)
- Sustainable Forestry Initiative (SFI)
- Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)

(4.10.3) Describe your organization's role within each framework or initiative

- Pactiv Evergreen is certified to the SFI Fiber Sourcing and FSC Controlled Wood Standards as well as the FSC, SFI, and PEFC Chain of Custody Standards - TCFD disclosures were published on our website here: <https://investors.pactivevergreen.com/static-files/b6bc0ba6-9cf3-4129-99cb-f01a20bdff94>

[Fixed row]

(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?

(4.11.2) Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

- Yes, we have a public commitment or position statement in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

(4.11.3) Global environmental treaties or policy goals in line with public commitment or position statement

Select all that apply

- Paris Agreement

(4.11.4) Attach commitment or position statement

GHG reduction goal.pdf

(4.11.5) Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register

Select from:

- Unknown

[Fixed row]

(4.11.1) On what policies, laws, or regulations that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment has your organization been engaging directly with policy makers in the reporting year?

Row 1

(4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

Unknown

(4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

Neutral

(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)

0

(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement

N/A

(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

No, we have not evaluated

[Add row]

(4.11.2) Provide details of your indirect engagement on policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment through trade associations or other intermediary organizations or individuals in the reporting year.

Row 1

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

- Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

- Climate change

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

- Consistent

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

- No, we did not attempt to influence their position

(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

0

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

- No, we have not evaluated

[Add row]

(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization’s response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.

Row 1

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

- In voluntary sustainability reports

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Forests
- Water
- Biodiversity

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

- Underway - previous year attached

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Strategy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Value chain engagement |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Governance | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public policy engagement |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Emissions figures | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water accounting figures |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Commodity volumes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Content of environmental policies |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Risks & Opportunities | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deforestation- and conversion-free (DCF) status metrics |

(4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

Please see table of content

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

Pactiv Evergreen 2021-2022 ESG Report Final.pdf

(4.12.1.8) Comment

N/A

[Add row]

C5. Business strategy

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?

Climate change

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

Yes

(5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:

Not defined

Forests

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

Yes

(5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:

Not defined

Water

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

Yes

(5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:

Not defined

[Fixed row]

(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization's scenario analysis.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

RCP 2.6

(5.1.1.2) Scenario used SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

No SSP used

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

- Acute physical
- Chronic physical
- Policy
- Market

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

- 1.6°C - 1.9°C

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2021

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- 2025
- 2030
- 2040

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

- Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

Not publicly available

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

To assess climate-risks and opportunities in line with TCFD recommendations, we selected two International Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) scenarios: •
Representative concentration pathways (RCP) 2.6 or well-below 2C: This scenario enables the assessment of reputational, legal, and regulatory transitional risks and their impact on driving collective action towards mitigating climate change and limiting the average global temperature increase to below 2C by 2100. •
RCP 8.5 or business-as-usual: This scenario enables the assessment of increased frequency and intensity of acute and chronic physical risks such as storms and temperature increases and their impacts on PTVE's procurement, supply chain and operations in a "business-as-usual" scenario.

Forests

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

RCP 2.6

(5.1.1.2) Scenario used SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

No SSP used

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

Acute physical

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

1.6°C - 1.9°C

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2021

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

2025

2030

2040

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

Not publicly available

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

To assess climate-risks and opportunities in line with TCFD recommendations, we selected two International Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) scenarios: • Representative concentration pathways (RCP) 2.6 or well-below 2C: This scenario enables the assessment of reputational, legal, and regulatory transitional risks and their impact on driving collective action towards mitigating climate change and limiting the average global temperature increase to below 2C by 2100. • RCP 8.5 or business-as-usual: This scenario enables the assessment of increased frequency and intensity of acute and chronic physical risks such as storms and temperature increases and their impacts on PTVE's procurement, supply chain and operations in a "business-as-usual" scenario.

Water

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

RCP 2.6

(5.1.1.2) Scenario used SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

No SSP used

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

Acute physical

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

1.6°C - 1.9°C

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2021

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- 2025
- 2030
- 2040

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

- Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

Not publicly available

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

To assess climate-risks and opportunities in line with TCFD recommendations, we selected two International Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) scenarios: •
Representative concentration pathways (RCP) 2.6 or well-below 2C: This scenario enables the assessment of reputational, legal, and regulatory transitional risks and their impact on driving collective action towards mitigating climate change and limiting the average global temperature increase to below 2C by 2100. •
RCP 8.5 or business-as-usual: This scenario enables the assessment of increased frequency and intensity of acute and chronic physical risks such as storms and temperature increases and their impacts on PTVE's procurement, supply chain and operations in a "business-as-usual" scenario.
[Add row]

(5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization's scenario analysis.

Climate change

(5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

Select all that apply

- Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management
- Strategy and financial planning
- Target setting and transition planning

(5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(5.1.2.3) Summarize the outcomes of the scenario analysis and any implications for other environmental issues

The results of our scenario analysis were included in our enterprise risk management plan.

Forests

(5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

Select all that apply

- Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management
- Strategy and financial planning
- Target setting and transition planning

(5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(5.1.2.3) Summarize the outcomes of the scenario analysis and any implications for other environmental issues

The results of our scenario analysis were included in our enterprise risk management plan.

Water

(5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

Select all that apply

- Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management
- Strategy and financial planning

- Target setting and transition planning

(5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(5.1.2.3) Summarize the outcomes of the scenario analysis and any implications for other environmental issues

The results of our scenario analysis were included in our enterprise risk management plan.

[Fixed row]

(5.2) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan?

(5.2.1) Transition plan

Select from:

- Yes, we have a climate transition plan which aligns with a 1.5°C world

(5.2.3) Publicly available climate transition plan

Select from:

- Yes

(5.2.4) Plan explicitly commits to cease all spending on, and revenue generation from, activities that contribute to fossil fuel expansion

Select from:

- No, and we do not plan to add an explicit commitment within the next two years

(5.2.6) Explain why your organization does not explicitly commit to cease all spending on and revenue generation from activities that contribute to fossil fuel expansion

We manufacture many products made from resin, which is derived from fossil fuels.

(5.2.7) Mechanism by which feedback is collected from shareholders on your climate transition plan

Select from:

We have a different feedback mechanism in place

(5.2.8) Description of feedback mechanism

Our Board of Directors, which represents the interests of our shareholders, has reviewed and approved our climate transition plan.

(5.2.9) Frequency of feedback collection

Select from:

Annually

(5.2.10) Description of key assumptions and dependencies on which the transition plan relies

Building on the progress we have made with recent changes reducing the emissions of our company's operating footprint, we intend to leverage innovative energy efficiency projects; increase our use and support of renewable energy; and partner with large suppliers to reduce their contributions to our Scope 3 emissions.

(5.2.11) Description of progress against transition plan disclosed in current or previous reporting period

Our goal is to decrease absolute Scope 1 and 2 emissions by 42% and absolute Scope 3 emissions by 25% from a 2022 baseline. As of December 31st, 2023, we have decreased our Scope 1 and 2 emissions by 28% and our Scope 3 emissions by 24%.

(5.2.12) Attach any relevant documents which detail your climate transition plan (optional)

GHG reduction goal.pdf

(5.2.13) Other environmental issues that your climate transition plan considers

Select all that apply

Plastics

(5.2.14) Explain how the other environmental issues are considered in your climate transition plan

Another goal is by 2030 100% of our packaging products will be made with recycled, recyclable or renewable materials (by associated net revenue). We reached 66% in 2023.

[Fixed row]

(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?

(5.3.1) Environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy and/or financial planning

Select from:

Yes, strategy only

(5.3.2) Business areas where environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy

Select all that apply

Products and services

Upstream/downstream value chain

Investment in R&D

Operations

(5.3.3) Primary reason why environmental risks and/or opportunities have not affected your strategy and/or financial planning

Select from:

Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.3.4) Explain why environmental risks and/or opportunities have not affected your strategy and/or financial planning

Environmental risks and opportunities are part of our enterprise risk management plan and contribute to our product and material strategy.

[Fixed row]

(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.

Products and services

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- Risks
- Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

The findings of our climate-related risk and opportunity analysis (as described in sections 2 and 3 and in our TCFD disclosures) were added to our enterprise risk management plan and integrated in our product and material strategy.

Upstream/downstream value chain

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- Risks
- Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

The findings of our climate-related risk and opportunity analysis (as described in sections 2 and 3 and in our TCFD disclosures) were added to our enterprise risk management plan and integrated in our product and material strategy.

Investment in R&D

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- Risks
- Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

The findings of our climate-related risk and opportunity analysis (as described in sections 2 and 3 and in our TCFD disclosures) were added to our enterprise risk management plan and integrated in our product and material strategy.

Operations

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- Risks
- Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

The findings of our climate-related risk and opportunity analysis (as described in sections 2 and 3 and in our TCFD disclosures) were added to our enterprise risk management plan and integrated in our product and material strategy.

[Add row]

(5.4) In your organization’s financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization’s climate transition?

	Identification of spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization’s climate transition
	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but we plan to in the next two years

[Fixed row]

(5.9) What is the trend in your organization’s water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?

(5.9.1) Water-related CAPEX (+/- % change)

100

(5.9.2) Anticipated forward trend for CAPEX (+/- % change)

5

(5.9.3) Water-related OPEX (+/- % change)

5

(5.9.4) Anticipated forward trend for OPEX (+/- % change)

5

(5.9.5) Please explain

We had one effluent water tower project in the reporting year vs. no similar project in the previous year. We estimate expenses to remain similar going forward.
[Fixed row]

(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?

	Use of internal pricing of environmental externalities	Primary reason for not pricing environmental externalities	Explain why your organization does not price environmental externalities
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to in the next two years	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :We have not developed an internal mechanism yet.	We have not developed an internal mechanism yet.

[Fixed row]

(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?

Suppliers

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

Yes

(5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

Climate change

Forests

Plastics

Smallholders

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

Yes

Customers

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

Yes

(5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

Climate change

Forests

Water

Plastics

Investors and shareholders

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

Yes

(5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

Climate change

Forests

- Water
- Plastics

Other value chain stakeholders

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

- No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(5.11.3) Primary reason for not engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

- No standardized procedure

(5.11.4) Explain why you do not engage with this stakeholder on environmental issues

*We have not received requests from other value chain members.
[Fixed row]*

(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?

	Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment
Climate change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, we do not currently assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers, but we plan to do so within the next two years

	Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment
Forests	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, we do not currently assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers, but we plan to do so within the next two years
Plastics	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, we do not currently assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers, but we plan to do so within the next two years

[Fixed row]

(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?

Climate change

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

No, we do not prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.3) Primary reason for no supplier prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

No standardized procedure

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

We are in the process of identifying tools to help engage with suppliers on environmental issues.

Forests

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

- No, we do not prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.3) Primary reason for no supplier prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

- No standardized procedure

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

We are in the process of identifying tools to help engage with suppliers on environmental issues.

Plastics

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

- No, we do not prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.3) Primary reason for no supplier prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

- No standardized procedure

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

We are in the process of identifying tools to help engage with suppliers on environmental issues.

[Fixed row]

(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process?

Climate change

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

- No, but we plan to introduce environmental requirements related to this environmental issue within the next two years

(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance

Select from:

- No, we do not have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

(5.11.5.3) Comment

As part of our climate transition plan, we are preparing our supplier engagement roadmap that we are expecting to launch in 2025. Additionally, we audit our Tier 1 and Tier 2 suppliers to gain visibility into labor, health and safety, environmental and business ethics practices in our supply chain. To do that, we are partnering with Sedex, one of the world's leading ethical trade organizations.

Forests

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

- Yes, environmental requirements related to this environmental issue are included in our supplier contracts

(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance

Select from:

- Yes, we have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

(5.11.5.3) Comment

Pactiv Evergreen is certified to the FSC, SFI, and PEFC standards. As part of our fiber procurement program we conduct a risk assessment on the fiber purchased at our two pulp and paper mills. Pactiv Evergreen procurement foresters are tasked with conducting random Best Management Practice (BMP) inspections on forest tracts to confirm that they are being harvested in compliance with state BMPs and are not being converted. In addition, the state forestry departments in our

procurement areas periodically publish BMP status reports that highlight specific areas of focus such as logger BMP inspections, precipitation forecasts and trends, and post-harvest inspections. Our procurement foresters review the state reports relevant to our procurement area and communicate or follow-up on any items identified that could impact our supply chain.

[Fixed row]

(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization's purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.

Forests

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

Compliance with an environmental certification, please specify :We request that the paper/paperboard from outside suppliers is purchased with an FSC, SFI, or PEFC claim. Our procurement team is working to confirm all outside paper/paperboard supplied to Pactiv Evergreen meets the FSC Controlled Wood Standard or S

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

Certification

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

76-99%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

76-99%

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- Suspend and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

- None

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

- Developing quantifiable, time-bound targets and milestones to bring suppliers back into compliance

(5.11.6.12) Comment

If a supplier is determined to be in non-compliance, our procurement team will engage with the specific supplier to determine the root cause. For example, after an extreme weather event if a supplier is willing to make corrections and collaborate then we will retain and engage. However, if a supplier is resistant to corrective actions we will consider suspending and removing the supplier from our procurement activities.

[Add row]

(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization's supplier engagement on environmental issues.

Climate change

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

- No other supplier engagement

Forests

(5.11.7.1) Commodity

Select from:

- Timber products

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

- No deforestation and/or conversion of other natural ecosystems

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Capacity building

- Provide training, support and best practices on how to mitigate environmental impact
- Other capacity building activity, please specify :Regular meetings, compliance reviews, logger training, technical information

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

- Tier 1 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

- 76-99%

(5.11.7.7) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive impacts and/or dependencies related to this environmental issue covered by engagement

Select from:

- 76-99%

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

Pactiv Evergreen engages with suppliers in the following ways: 1. Procurement foresters hold quarterly meetings with wood suppliers to present important materials such as wood costs, inventories, and relevant compliance issues that need to be addressed. 2. The Forest Certification Manager visits chip mills quarterly to review compliance status with Pactiv Evergreen's forest certification standards and ensures that BMP monitoring reports are being completed in a timely manner. 3. We help

to organize annual logger training sessions for loggers at our participating chip mills. 4. Technical information and materials are disseminated to our wood procurement supply chain as they become available. These items are sent via email distribution lists to our chip mills. The chip mills then communicate this information to the loggers. 5. Pactiv Evergreen procurement foresters conduct tract BMP inspections during which they engage directly with the loggers. 6. Pactiv Evergreen also supports the Forests Stewards Guild. One of the main objectives of this partnership is creating information highlighting the impacts of conversion in our procurement areas. This information is shared with suppliers as it is developed. 7. As part of our FSC Forest Management program our procurement foresters conduct pre-harvest inspections on tracts enrolled in our program. These meetings involve the loggers and/or landowners and address items such as property boundary flagging, Streamside Management Zone delineation, invasive species control, and post-harvest activities.

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

- Yes, please specify the environmental requirement :Certifications

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

- Yes

Plastics

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

- Circular economy

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Innovation and collaboration

- Collaborate with suppliers on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

- Tier 1 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

- 76-99%

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

We engage with our suppliers on innovation and R&D to reach our goal for 100% of our packaging products to be made from recycled, recyclable or renewable materials by 2030.

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

- No, because our tier 1 suppliers are producers, and have no suppliers of commodities

[Add row]

(5.11.8) Provide details of any environmental smallholder engagement activity

Row 1

(5.11.8.1) Commodity

Select from:

- Timber products

(5.11.8.2) Type and details of smallholder engagement approach

Capacity building

- Disseminate technical materials
- Offer on-site technical assistance and extension services
- Organize capacity building events

(5.11.8.3) Number of smallholders engaged

37

(5.11.8.4) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Pactiv Evergreen engages with suppliers in the following ways: 1. Procurement foresters hold quarterly meetings with wood suppliers to present important materials such as wood costs, inventories, and relevant compliance issues that need to be addressed. 2. The Forest Certification Manager visits chip mills quarterly to review compliance status with Pactiv Evergreen's forest certification standards and ensures that BMP monitoring reports are being completed in a timely manner. 3. We help to organize annual logger training sessions for loggers at our participating chip mills. 4. Technical information and materials are disseminated to our wood procurement supply chain as they become available. These items are sent via email distribution lists to our chip mills. The chip mills then communicate this information to the loggers. Pactiv Evergreen is a FSC Forest Management certificate holder which allows us to participate in the Small and Low Intensity Managed Forests (SLIMF) program. The SLIMF provides a streamlined approach to certifying landowners under 1,000 hectares in the FSC Standard. In addition to the SLIMF, Pactiv Evergreen also participated in the FSC Smallholder Access Pilot Program. This program focuses on forests less than 100 hectares and helps establish a pathway for these smaller landowners to certify their forests to the FSC standard. The pilot was a success and is being presented to FSC international as a proposed addition to the FSC standard.

[Add row]

(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

- Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes
- Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

Innovation and collaboration

- Align your organization's goals to support customers' targets and ambitions

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

Unknown

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

Unknown

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

We share information about our environmental performance with customers, be it in sales presentations, dedicated sustainability or ESG workshops/presentations, or with other media and sources available on our websites or upon request. Our goal is to align with partners who share our values, and we aspire to sustainability leadership. We believe it is essential to engage customers on our own metrics to continue to instill trust in our company.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

At this point, we are measuring success as customer satisfaction with our current strategy (qualitative measures include formal and informal feedback, relationship improvement, strategy support and advice; while quantitative measures include sales growth, response time for climate-related requests, customer surveys filled, and meeting customers requirement when existing). While impacts are confidential, we can share that we observed increasing interest from strategic customers in our strategy, increasing requests for support with customers starting their sustainability journey, and increased response time for any sustainability-related request.

Forests

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes

- Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

Innovation and collaboration

- Align your organization's goals to support customers' targets and ambitions
- Encourage collaborative work in multi-stakeholder landscape towards initiatives for sustainable land-use goals

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

- Unknown

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

We share information about our environmental performance with customers, be it in sales presentations, dedicated sustainability or ESG workshops/presentations, or with other media and sources available on our websites or upon request. Our goal is to align with partners who share our values, and we aspire to sustainability leadership. We believe it is essential to engage customers on our own metrics to continue to instill trust in our company.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

At this point, we are measuring success as customer satisfaction with our current strategy (qualitative measures include formal and informal feedback, relationship improvement, strategy support and advice; while quantitative measures include sales growth, response time for climate-related requests, customer surveys filled, and meeting customers requirement when existing). While impacts are confidential, we can share that we observed increasing interest from strategic customers in our strategy, increasing requests for support with customers starting their sustainability journey, and increased response time for any sustainability-related request.

Water

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

- Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

- Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

- Unknown

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

We share information about our environmental performance with customers, be it in sales presentations, dedicated sustainability or ESG workshops/presentations, or with other media and sources available on our websites or upon request. Our goal is to align with partners who share our values, and we aspire to sustainability leadership. We believe it is essential to engage customers on our own metrics to continue to instill trust in our company.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

At this point, we are measuring success as customer satisfaction with our current strategy (qualitative measures include formal and informal feedback, relationship improvement, strategy support and advice; while quantitative measures include sales growth, response time for climate-related requests, customer surveys filled, and meeting customers requirement when existing). While impacts are confidential, we can share that we observed increasing interest from strategic customers in our strategy, increasing requests for support with customers starting their sustainability journey, and increased response time for any sustainability-related request.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

- Investors and shareholders

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

- Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

Unknown

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

Unknown

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

We are regularly engaging the investor community on climate-related issues. At this point, we are focusing our engagement on communication and requested feedback on our existing performance and strategy on climate-related issues.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

At this point, we are focusing our engagement on communication and requested feedback on our existing performance and strategy on climate-related issues.

Forests

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

Investors and shareholders

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

Unknown

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

We are regularly engaging the investor community on forest-related issues. At this point, we are focusing our engagement on communication and requested feedback on our existing performance and strategy on forest-related issues.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

At this point, we are focusing our engagement on communication and requested feedback on our existing performance and strategy on forest-related issues.
[Add row]

(5.12) Indicate any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives you could collaborate on with specific CDP Supply Chain members.

(5.13) Has your organization already implemented any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement?

	Environmental initiatives implemented due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(5.13.1) Specify the CDP Supply Chain members that have prompted your implementation of mutually beneficial environmental initiatives and provide information on the initiatives.

C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.

Climate change

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

In line with the GHG Protocol's definition, Pactiv Evergreen uses an operational control approach. Operational control is defined as when the company or one of its subsidiaries has the full authority to introduce and implement its operation policies on the operations of the entity or facility.

Forests

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

We are applying our Climate change boundary methodology to all other environmental issues.

Water

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

We are applying our Climate change boundary methodology to all other environmental issues.

Plastics

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

We are applying our Climate change boundary methodology to all other environmental issues.

Biodiversity

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

We are applying our Climate change boundary methodology to all other environmental issues.

[Fixed row]

C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?

Select from:

No

(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

	Has there been a structural change?
	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

	Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?
	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.

Select all that apply

- The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Scope 2 Guidance
- US EPA Mandatory Greenhouse Gas Reporting Rule
- The Climate Registry: General Reporting Protocol
- US EPA Emissions & Generation Resource Integrated Database (eGRID)
- The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard
- The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition)
- US EPA Center for Corporate Climate Leadership: Indirect Emissions From Purchased Electricity
- US EPA Center for Corporate Climate Leadership: Direct Emissions from Mobile Combustion Sources
- US EPA Center for Corporate Climate Leadership: Direct Emissions from Stationary Combustion Sources
- Defra Environmental Reporting Guidelines: Including streamlined energy and carbon reporting guidance, 2019

(7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.

(7.3.1) Scope 2, location-based

Select from:

- We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure

(7.3.2) Scope 2, market-based

Select from:

- We have operations where we are able to access electricity supplier emission factors or residual emissions factors, but are unable to report a Scope 2, market-based figure

(7.3.3) Comment

We do not currently hold any Renewable Energy Contracts. We also favor an approach accounting for the emissions we are "physically putting into the air", as described by World Resources Institute, vs. reflecting contractual decisions. Therefore, we have not allocated resources to calculate market-based emissions.
[Fixed row]

(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?

Select from:

No

(7.4.1) Provide details of the sources of Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure.

Row 1

(7.4.1.2) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

Scope 1

Scope 2 (location-based)

[Add row]

(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.

Scope 1

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1133782

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Direct GHG emissions generated from stationary and mobile combustion of fuels (coal, natural gas, fuel no. 2, fuel no. 6, propane, tire-derived fuel, diesel, gasoline and kerosene): • Coal, natural gas, fuel no. 2, fuel no. 6, propane, and tire-derived fuel usage data was collected for owned and leased facilities within the organizational boundary where the relevant fuel was used. • Propane, diesel, gasoline and kerosene fuel usage data was collected for owned and leased vehicles and forklifts within the organizational boundary for which the relevant fuel was used. • Emissions are calculated based on monthly fuel usage data collected from third-party invoices through our bill-pay vendors or facility management records. • Estimated fugitive emissions from use of refrigerants during the reporting period are excluded as they account for less than 1% of reported Scope 1 emissions. Emissions factors for Coal, fuel no. 2, fuel no. 6, tire-derived fuel, diesel, gasoline, and kerosene: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) 2023 Emission Factors for Greenhouse Gas Inventories (September 2023) (“U.S. EPA 2023 Emission Factors for Greenhouse Gas Inventories”); for Natural gas and propane: For U.S. mill facilities and Burley, Greenville, Hazleton, Kalamazoo, and Mountain Top U.S. facilities: U.S. EPA 2023 Emission Factors for Greenhouse Gas Inventories; For other facilities: U.S. EPA 2022 Emission Factors for Greenhouse Gas Inventories (April 2022)

Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

954128

(7.5.3) Methodological details

• *Indirect GHG emissions from the generation of purchased electricity and steam usage at owned and leased facilities within the organizational boundary.* o Purchased electricity is either sourced from the grid or from the property owner for direct use on-site. o Purchased steam is sourced from a utility distribution company. • Emissions are calculated based on monthly usage data collected from third-party invoices through our bill-pay vendors or facility management records. • Where third-party invoices or facility management records were not available, an estimate was determined based on historical usage data from the facility which is typically from the most recent month invoiced. Estimated emissions from purchased electricity and steam account for approximately 2% of reported Scope 2 (location-based) emissions. • The GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance sets forth reporting under both location-based and market-based methodologies. This management assertion letter only includes Pactiv Evergreen’s location-based Scope 2 emissions. • Emissions factors: o Purchased electricity: For U.S. mill facilities and Burley, Greenville, Hazleton, Kalamazoo, Mountain Top, Menifee, Piedmont, and Pioneer U.S. facilities: U.S. EPA 2023 Emission Factors for Greenhouse Gas Inventories For other U.S. facilities: U.S. EPA, The Emissions & Generation Resource Integrated Database, eGRID Technical Guide with Year 2020 Data (January 2022) For Canadian manufacturing facilities and distribution facilities: U.S. EPA, The Emissions & Generation Resource Integrated Database, eGRID Technical Guide with Year 2020 Data (January 2022) For Mexican manufacturing facilities: o For Mexican manufacturing facilities except Jalostotitlan: U.S. EPA, The Emissions & Generation Resource Integrated Database, eGRID Technical Guide with Year 2020 Data (January 2022) o For Jalostotitlan: Carbon Footprint™ 2021 Country Specific Electricity Grid Greenhouse Gas Emission Factor (March 2022) o Purchased Steam: For U.S. mill

facilities: U.S. EPA 2023 Emission Factors for Greenhouse Gas Inventories For other facilities: U.S. EPA, The Emissions & Generation Resource Integrated Database, eGRID Technical Guide with Year 2020 Data (January 2022). This number received limited assurance.

Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

We have operations where we are able to access electricity supplier emission factors or residual emissions factors, but are unable to report a Scope 2, market-based figure

Scope 3 category 1: Purchased goods and services

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

5637191

(7.5.3) Methodological details

For 2022, we revised our methodology for Scope 3 calculations. Scope 3 category 1 GHG emissions from purchased goods and services are calculated using mass-based emissions factors for purchased raw materials and spend-based emissions factors for all other purchased goods and services relevant to scope 3 category 1. Spend and mass data is provided by data owners for the relevant calendar year. Spend data is aggregated into categories by Pactiv Evergreen internal systems. For non-raw materials, these spend categories are then matched to EPA spend-based emissions factors, which provide a GHG emissions intensity per dollar of goods or services purchased for hundreds of different types of goods or services. For raw materials, mass-based emissions factors from the European Environmental Agency and the UK DEFRA organization were utilized to calculate emissions associated with each raw material. The difference with the previous year is explained by the addition of purchased goods and services categories other than raw materials.

Scope 3 category 2: Capital goods

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

25057

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Scope 3 category 2 GHG emissions are based on 2022 spend mapped to EPA category types and based on EPA emissions factors applied to categories of spend.

Scope 3 category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1030641

(7.5.3) Methodological details

For this category, the average-data method is used to quantify upstream emissions from the fuel and energy used by Pactiv Evergreen. The emission profile for the category lists three main stages for each fuel and energy related activity: upstream Well-to-tank (WTT) emissions of electricity and purchased stream, upstream Well-to-tank emissions of transmission and distribution (T&D) losses of purchased stream and electricity, upstream emissions of grid losses of purchased stream and electricity, upstream Well-to-tank emissions of related fuels.

Scope 3 category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

701704

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This includes transportation and distribution between PTVE's tier 1 suppliers and its own operations in vehicles not owned or operated by Pactiv Evergreen. This category also includes emissions from purchased third-party transportation and distribution services, including inbound and outbound logistics (included because purchased by PTVE of sold products and purchased T&D between a company's own facilities).

Scope 3 category 5: Waste generated in operations

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

292860

(7.5.3) Methodological details

The average-data method is used to calculate emissions by material type and disposal or diversion method as the emissions from waste depend on these two factors. Pactiv Evergreen differentiated waste based on its material type (e.g., cardboard, food waste, mixed municipal solid waste), and the waste treatment method (e.g., combusted, landfilled, recycled, incinerated without energy recovery, and incinerated with energy recovery).

Scope 3 category 6: Business travel

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3062

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This information was provided by travel vendors for 2022 and includes from air travel, rail travel, bus travel, automobile travel (e.g., business travel in rental cars or employee-owned vehicles other than employee commuting to and from work), as well as hotel stays.

Scope 3 category 7: Employee commuting

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

17514

(7.5.3) Methodological details

We assumed employees commuting by car, with one employee per car, using US average median commuting distance and 250 working days per year.

Scope 3 category 8: Upstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Emissions from leased assets with operational control are included in our Scope 1 and 2 emissions.

Scope 3 category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2032

(7.5.3) Methodological details

These represent emissions associated with downstream transportation and distribution (T&D) of products after the point of sale for the calendar year, Q1-Q4. Downstream T&D emissions may include third party shipping of the PTVE's products that are not financed by PTVE to the end consumer. This category also includes emissions from storing sold products in warehouses and retail facilities not owned or controlled by PTVE.

Scope 3 category 10: Processing of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

The emissions in this category are from the processing of sold intermediate products. Our products are filled with food and beverages by our customers before reaching the end-user. Since the inclusion of food or beverages in packaging products is not considered processing of sold products, the emission category is omitted.

Scope 3 category 11: Use of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

(7.5.3) Methodological details

These emissions represent machinery sold to third-party customers for onward manufacturing. Calculations for emissions used considerations including model, product energy use type, average energy use, product lifetime and product sale count.

Scope 3 category 12: End of life treatment of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

The end of life of our products is dependent on the final use, packaging, location of sale of our customers (B2C), end-user's locations, and disposal method of the final product. We do not have a major influence on emissions from the disposal of sold final products at the end of life.

Scope 3 category 13: Downstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

We are still in the process of evaluating this category.

Scope 3 category 14: Franchises

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Franchises are not a part of Pactiv Evergreen's operations and thus this category is omitted.

Scope 3 category 15: Investments

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

We did not have any financed emissions in 2022 and thus the category is omitted.

Scope 3: Other (upstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

N/A

Scope 3: Other (downstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

N/A

[Fixed row]

(7.6) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

631252

(7.6.3) Methodological details

- *Direct GHG emissions generated from stationary and mobile combustion of fuels (coal, natural gas, fuel no. 2, fuel no. 6, propane, tire-derived fuel, diesel, gasoline and kerosene):*
 - o *Coal, natural gas, fuel no. 2, fuel no. 6, propane, and tire-derived fuel usage data was collected for owned and leased facilities within the organizational boundary where the relevant fuel was used.*
 - o *Propane, diesel, gasoline and kerosene fuel usage data was collected for owned and leased vehicles and forklifts within the organizational boundary for which the relevant fuel was used.*
 - o *Emissions are calculated based on monthly fuel usage data*

collected from third-party invoices through our bill-pay vendors or facility management records. • Emissions factors: o Natural gas, propane, coal, fuel no. 2, fuel no. 6, tire-derived fuel, diesel, gasoline, and kerosene: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) 2024 Emission Factors for Greenhouse Gas Inventories (February 2024) (“U.S. EPA 2024 Emission Factors for Greenhouse Gas Inventories”) o Refrigerants: Calculated using the U.S. E.P.A HFC Emissions Accounting Tool (Version 1.1)

Past year 1

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1133782

(7.6.2) End date

12/31/2022

(7.6.3) Methodological details

• *Direct GHG emissions generated from stationary and mobile combustion of fuels (coal, natural gas, fuel no. 2, fuel no. 6, propane, tire-derived fuel, diesel, gasoline and kerosene):* o Coal, natural gas, fuel no. 2, fuel no. 6, propane, and tire-derived fuel usage data was collected for owned and leased facilities within the organizational boundary where the relevant fuel was used. o Propane, diesel, gasoline and kerosene fuel usage data was collected for owned and leased vehicles and forklifts within the organizational boundary for which the relevant fuel was used. o Emissions are calculated based on monthly fuel usage data collected from third-party invoices through our bill-pay vendors or facility management records. • *Estimated fugitive emissions from use of refrigerants during the reporting period are excluded as they account for less than 1% of reported Scope 1 emissions.* • *Emissions factors: for Coal, fuel no. 2, fuel no. 6, tire-derived fuel, diesel, gasoline, and kerosene: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) 2023 Emission Factors for Greenhouse Gas Inventories (September 2023) (“U.S. EPA 2023 Emission Factors for Greenhouse Gas Inventories”); for Natural gas and propane: for U.S. mill facilities and Burley, Greenville, Hazleton, Kalamazoo, and Mountain Top U.S. facilities: U.S. EPA 2023 Emission Factors for Greenhouse Gas Inventories; for other facilities: U.S. EPA 2022 Emission Factors for Greenhouse Gas Inventories (April 2022)*

[Fixed row]

(7.7) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

862769

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e) (if applicable)

0

(7.7.4) Methodological details

- *Indirect GHG emissions from the generation of purchased electricity and steam usage at owned and leased facilities within the organizational boundary. o Purchased electricity is either sourced from the grid or from the property owner for direct use on-site. o Purchased steam is sourced from a utility distribution company. • Emissions are calculated based on monthly usage data collected from third-party invoices through our bill-pay vendors or facility management records. • Where third-party invoices or facility management records were not available, an estimate was determined based on historical usage data from the facility which is typically from the same month of the previous inventory year (2022). Estimated emissions from purchased electricity and steam account for approximately 1% of reported Scope 2 (location-based) emissions. • The GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance sets forth reporting under both location-based and market-based methodologies. This management assertion letter only includes Pactiv Evergreen's location-based Scope 2 emissions. • Emissions factors: o Purchased electricity US: U.S. EPA 2024 Emission Factors for Greenhouse Gas Inventories Canada: National Inventory Report 1990-2021: Canada's Submission to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (April 2023) - Tables A13-7 (Ontario) and A13-10 (Alberta) Mexico: Mexico National Emissions Registry (RENE), Electricity Generation Emission Factors, 2023 o Purchased steam U.S. EPA 2024 Emission Factors for Greenhouse Gas Inventories.*

Past year 1

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

954130

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e) (if applicable)

0

(7.7.3) End date

12/31/2022

(7.7.4) Methodological details

- *Indirect GHG emissions from the generation of purchased electricity and steam usage at owned and leased facilities within the organizational boundary. o Purchased electricity is either sourced from the grid or from the property owner for direct use on-site. o Purchased steam is sourced from a utility distribution company. • Emissions are calculated based on monthly usage data collected from third-party invoices through our bill-pay vendors or facility management*

records. • Where third-party invoices or facility management records were not available, an estimate was determined based on historical usage data from the facility which is typically from the most recent month invoiced. Estimated emissions from purchased electricity and steam account for approximately 2% of reported Scope 2 (location-based) emissions. • The GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance sets forth reporting under both location-based and market-based methodologies. This management assertion letter only includes Pactiv Evergreen's location-based Scope 2 emissions. • Emissions factors: for purchased electricity: o For U.S. mill facilities and Burley, Greenville, Hazleton, Kalamazoo, Mountain Top, Menifee, Piedmont, and Pioneer U.S. facilities: U.S. EPA 2023 Emission Factors for Greenhouse Gas Inventories o For other U.S. facilities: U.S. EPA, The Emissions & Generation Resource Integrated Database, eGRID Technical Guide with Year 2020 Data (January 2022) o For Canadian manufacturing facilities and distribution facilities: U.S. EPA, The Emissions & Generation Resource Integrated Database, eGRID Technical Guide with Year 2020 Data (January 2022) o For Mexican manufacturing facilities: For Mexican manufacturing facilities except Jalostotitlan: U.S. EPA, The Emissions & Generation Resource Integrated Database, eGRID Technical Guide with Year 2020 Data (January 2022); For Jalostotitlan: Carbon Footprint™ 2021 Country Specific Electricity Grid Greenhouse Gas Emission Factor (March 2022)
[Fixed row]

(7.8) Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

Purchased goods and services

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO₂e)

4242231

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Hybrid method

Spend-based method

Other, please specify :mass-based

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Emissions in this category are upstream (i.e., cradle-to-gate) emissions from the production of materials and products purchased or acquired by the reporting company in the reporting year. Products include both goods (tangible products) and services (intangible products). This category is intended to capture goods and services purchased and used by Pactiv Evergreen for general business operations, that generate a material quantity of emissions and do not fall under any of the other emissions categories. For 2023, Pactiv Evergreen assesses emissions from this category using a hybrid approach i.e., both a mass-based approach for raw materials and spend-based approach for other purchased goods and services. Specifically, the analysis relies on two types of data: (i) purchased raw material by weight, and (ii) purchased goods and services by spend. Scope 3 category 1 GHG emissions from purchased goods and services are calculated using mass-based emissions factors for purchased raw materials and spend-based emissions factors for all other purchased goods and services relevant to scope 3 category 1. Spend and mass data is provided by data owners for the relevant calendar year. Spend data is aggregated into categories by Pactiv Evergreen internal systems. For raw materials, mass-based emissions factors from the European Environmental Agency and the UK DEFRA organization were utilized to calculate emissions associated with each raw material. For non-raw materials, these spend categories are then matched to EPA spend-based emissions factors, which provide a GHG emissions intensity per dollar of goods or services purchased for hundreds of different types of goods or services. The difference with the previous year is explained by the closure of our Canton paper mill and the decrease in procured goods and services for that site.

Capital goods

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

18420

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Emissions in this category are upstream (i.e., cradle-to-gate) emissions from the production of capital goods purchased or acquired by the reporting company in the reporting year. This category is intended to capture capital goods purchased and used by Pactiv Evergreen for general business operations, that generate a material quantity of emissions and do not fall under any of the other emissions categories. For 2023, Pactiv Evergreen assesses emissions from this category using a spend-based approach. For these emissions, Pactiv Evergreen data owners provide 2023 total spend in USD. Given the US EPA emission factors utilize 2021 US dollars, the 2023 spend is first adjusted for inflation/deflation using the US Bureau of Labor Statistics CPI Inflation Calculator. Next, each line item of spend is assigned to the appropriate emission factor based on product type (e.g., production equipment, packaging equipment, furniture), then AR4 GWPs and unit conversions are applied to measure emissions in metric tons of CO₂e. This calculation is performed for each line of spend in the reporting year.

Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO₂e)

933255

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Average data method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

For this category, the average-data method is used to quantify upstream emissions from the fuel and energy used by Pactiv Evergreen. Four main activities are included for this category: Upstream well-to-tank (WTT) emissions of purchased fuels (Scope 1), Upstream emissions of purchased electricity and steam (Scope 2), Transmission and distribution (T&D) losses of purchased electricity and steam (Scope 2), Emissions of grid losses of purchased steam and electricity. Considered fuel sources are: For Scope 1, Stationary Combustion: Natural Gas, Coal, Fuel #2, Fuel #6, tire-derived fuel; For Scope 2, Mobile combustion: mobile propane, diesel, gasoline, kerosene; For Scope 2, Purchased energy: electricity and steam. For each fuel and energy source, Pactiv Evergreen data owners provide 2023 consumption data for Scope 1 and 2 calculations. The data is then pulled in for upstream and T&D related Scope 3 emissions calculations. Based on fuel type and

type of activity (i.e., upstream or T&D), an emission factor is applied (using UK DEFRA GHG Reporting Conversion Factors, 2023 and US EPA GHG Emission Factors Hub, 2023).

Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

544674

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Hybrid method

Spend-based method

Fuel-based method

Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Emissions in this category are from upstream transportation and distribution of products purchased in the reporting year. It covers transportation and distribution of products between Pactiv Evergreen's tier 1 suppliers and its own operations in vehicles not owned or operated by Pactiv Evergreen (including multi-modal shipping where multiple carriers are involved in the delivery of a product but excluding fuel and energy products). This category also includes third-party transportation and distribution services purchased by Pactiv Evergreen in the reporting year (either directly or through an intermediary), including inbound and outbound logistics (e.g., of sold products), third-party transportation and distribution between its own facilities and any warehousing or storage services. For 2023, Pactiv Evergreen uses a spend-based approach to measure emissions from this category. The data provided is first sorted based on the payor of the service i.e., upstream supplier, Pactiv Evergreen and/or its customers. If the service is paid for by the supplier or Pactiv Evergreen, emissions are included in this category, and if the service is paid for by the customer, emissions are included in downstream transportation and distribution. Upon sorting, data gaps are assessed, and missing data are extrapolated based

on cost, weight of goods, mileage, and mode of transport. Once proxy values are determined, the data is aggregated and categorized based on transportation and distribution vs. warehousing, and if transportation and distribution based on mode of transport. Next, using the sorted data, 2023 spend is adjusted for inflation/deflation using the US Bureau of Labor Statistics CPI Inflation Calculator. Next, spend by mode of transport (e.g., truck, rail, ocean) is assigned to the appropriate US EPA emission factors, without margins. Once emission factors are applied, AR4 GWPs and unit conversions are applied to measure emissions in metric tons of CO2e.

Waste generated in operations

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

141622

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Hybrid method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

100

(7.8.5) Please explain

Emissions in this category are from third-party disposal and treatment of waste generated by the reporting company's owned or controlled operations in the reporting year, as well as emissions from the disposal of both solid waste and wastewater. The GHG Protocol states only waste treatment in facilities owned or operated by third parties is included in Scope 3. Treatment of waste generated in operations is categorized as an upstream Scope 3 category because waste management services are purchased by the reporting company. These emissions represent all future emissions that result from waste generated in the reporting year. The average-data method is used to calculate emissions by material type and disposal or diversion method as the emissions from waste depend on these two factors. Pactiv Evergreen differentiated waste based on its material type (e.g., cardboard, food waste, mixed municipal solid waste (MSW)), and the waste treatment method (e.g., combusted, landfilled, recycled, incinerated without energy recovery, and incinerated with energy recovery). To calculate emissions from waste generated in operations, Pactiv Evergreen data owners provided the quantity of waste produced in metric tons (mt) by the type of waste material and waste treatment method. For general waste categories, waste quantities are converted to short tons before the application of appropriate EPA emission factors. Next, EPA waste emission factors

are applied to measure emissions metric tons (mt) of CO₂e. For hazardous and non-hazardous waste categories, appropriate EPA emission factors are applied to measure emissions in kilograms (kg) of CO₂e. The emissions are then converted to metric tons of CO₂e. Given both the waste calculation approaches use EPA emission factors for CO₂e, no additional GWPs are applied to these calculations for 2023.

Business travel

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

- Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO₂e)

3510

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

- Supplier-specific method
- Hybrid method
- Spend-based method
- Fuel-based method
- Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

84

(7.8.5) Please explain

Emissions from business travel may arise from air, rail, bus, automobile (e.g., business travel in rental cars or employee-owned vehicles other than employee commuting to and from work) as well as other modes of travel. Companies may optionally include emissions from business travelers staying in hotels. For 2023 emissions from air travel, car rentals and hotel stays, Pactiv Evergreen data owners provided vendor reports with emissions detail for Pactiv Evergreen. Given the vendor reports measured emissions directly, no additional calculations are performed for these three categories for 2023. For reimbursed employee mileage provides data on reimbursed costs of business travel of Pactiv Evergreen employees. For these calculations, Pactiv Evergreen data owners provided reimbursed expense

amounts. The reimbursed amount is first converted from spend to volume of gas used in gallons using fuel price factors. The volume of fuel is then converted to total miles travelled. Appropriate US EPA emission factors are then applied to the miles to measure emissions for CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O. Once emission factors are applied, AR4 GWPs and unit conversions are applied to measure emissions in metric tons of CO₂e.

Employee commuting

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO₂e)

9147

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

As per the GHG Protocol Scope 3, Category 7, employee commuting includes emissions from transportation between the employee's homes and worksites. Emissions in this category might include emissions from employees commuting via automobile, bus, rail, and other modes of transportation (e.g., subway, bicycling). To calculate the emissions from the employee commuting, the distance-based method is used. The number of employees is provided by data owners. Using this data and the following assumptions, emissions are calculated for 2023 assuming: All employees commuted to the Pactiv Evergreen facilities in individual cars; All employees worked from Pactiv Evergreen facilities in 2023, and no teleworking is assumed; The median distance traveled by Pactiv Evergreen Mexico employees is assumed to be 7.2 miles i.e., the US average median distance. US data is used as a proxy as median distances in Mexico is unavailable; The median distance traveled by Pactiv Evergreen employees in Alaska is assumed to be 7.2 miles i.e., the US average median distance. The US average is used as a proxy as the median distance in Alaska is unavailable; The number of working days is assumed to be 250 days per year. The number of days is calculated as 365 days per year less 104 days for weekends and 11 days for paid time off per year. In terms of emissions calculations, first, employee headcount by resident state is extracted and multiplied by the median roundtrip distance traveled by commuters by state and country. Next, assuming all employees work from facilities for 250 days/year, total

mileage is calculated by multiplying total roundtrip mileage by total number of days worked. Using total miles traveled, the US EPA emission factor for car vehicle-miles are applied to measure emissions for CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O. The formula used to measure emissions is the following.

Upstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

- Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

N/A

Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

- Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO₂e)

1398

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

- Hybrid method
- Spend-based method
- Fuel-based method
- Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

(7.8.5) Please explain

Emissions in this category are from downstream transportation and distribution of sold products in vehicles and facilities not owned or controlled by the reporting company. This category also includes emissions from retail (e.g., customers transportation to and from retail stores, customer contracted delivery services such as Instacart) and storage. Outbound transportation and distribution services purchased by the reporting company are excluded from this category and instead included in upstream transportation and distribution. This category only includes emissions from transportation and distribution of products after the point of sale. For 2023, Pactiv Evergreen uses a spend-based approach to measure emissions from this category, A similar approach is followed to that of upstream transportation and distribution wherein spend data is sorted by payor. Upon sorting, data gaps are assessed, and missing data are extrapolated based on cost, weight of goods, mileage, and mode of transport. Note that for 2023 the same data gaps identified for upstream transportation and distribution apply to downstream transportation and distribution. For specific gaps identified and proxy data used, refer to Upstream T&D. Once proxy values are determined, the data is aggregated and categorized based on mode of transport. Next, using the sorted data, 2023 spend is adjusted for inflation/deflation using the US Bureau of Labor Statistics CPI Inflation Calculator. Next, spend by mode of transport (e.g., truck, rail, ocean) is assigned to the appropriate US EPA emission factors, without margins. Specifically, the emission factors utilized is the following. Once emission factors are applied, AR4 GWPs and unit conversions are applied.

Processing of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

N/A

Use of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO₂e)

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Methodology for direct use phase emissions, please specify :See explanation

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

The GHG Protocol Scope 3 Standard divides emissions from the use of sold products into two types: • Direct use-phase emissions or emissions from intermediate products that directly emit GHG emissions in its use phase • Indirect use-phase emissions or emissions from products that indirectly consumer energy during use In 2023, Pactiv Evergreen sold 16 machines to third-party customers for onward manufacturing. As such, direct use-phase of emissions are determined to be material and measured for 2023. For these emissions Pactiv Evergreen data owners provide 2023 machine data, including model, product energy use type, average energy use, product lifetime and product sale count. As such using this information, and the following assumptions, Scope 3 direct-use emissions are calculated, assuming: Average uptime is assumed to be 100%; Number of hours a machine is operated in one day is assumed to 20 hours; Number of days the machine is operated in a year is assumed to be 353 days i.e., 365 days less one day/month for maintenance and repair; The average lifetime of the machine is provided in some instances, and for others, a lifetime of 20 years is assumed. With the data provided and assumptions noted above, emissions are calculated by measuring lifetime energy use by multiplying the quantity of machines sold, average energy use, hours operated/day and number of days operated/year. Once the total energy use is determined in kilo-watt hours (kWh), it is converted to mega-watt hours (MWh). Next, EPA eGRID US average emission factor is applied to measure emissions. Once emission factors are applied, AR4 GWPs and unit conversions are applied to measure emissions in metric tons of CO₂e. This calculation is performed for each machine type in the reporting year.

End of life treatment of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

N/A

Downstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

N/A

Franchises

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

N/A

Investments

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

N/A

Other (upstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

N/A

Other (downstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

N/A

[Fixed row]

(7.8.1) Disclose or restate your Scope 3 emissions data for previous years.

Past year 1

(7.8.1.1) End date

12/31/2022

(7.8.1.2) Scope 3: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

5637193

(7.8.1.3) Scope 3: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

25057

(7.8.1.4) Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

1030641

(7.8.1.5) Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

701704

(7.8.1.6) Scope 3: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)

292860

(7.8.1.7) Scope 3: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)

3062

(7.8.1.8) Scope 3: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)

17514

(7.8.1.9) Scope 3: Upstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.10) Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

2032

(7.8.1.11) Scope 3: Processing of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.12) Scope 3: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

(7.8.1.13) Scope 3: End of life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.14) Scope 3: Downstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.15) Scope 3: Franchises (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.16) Scope 3: Investments (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.17) Scope 3: Other (upstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.18) Scope 3: Other (downstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.19) Comment

*The same methodology as 2023 reporting applies.
[Fixed row]*

(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 3	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No third-party verification or assurance

[Fixed row]

(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.1.1) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

Annual process

(7.9.1.2) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

Complete

(7.9.1.3) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

Limited assurance

(7.9.1.4) Attach the statement

Report of Independent Accountants_Pactiv Evergreen Inc 2023.pdf

(7.9.1.5) Page/section reference

1 - 5

(7.9.1.6) Relevant standard

Select from:

Attestation standards established by AICPA (AT105)

(7.9.1.7) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

Scope 2 location-based

(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

Complete

(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

Limited assurance

(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

Report of Independent Accountants_Pactiv Evergreen Inc 2023.pdf

(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

1-5

(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

Attestation standards established by AICPA (AT105)

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?

Select from:

Decreased

(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.

Change in renewable energy consumption

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

Other emissions reduction activities

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

Divestment

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

Acquisitions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

Mergers

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

Change in output

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

595000

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Change of emissions due to closure of plant / previous year's Scope 1 and 2 emissions. The Canton paper mill as well as our Olmstead Falls facility closed in May 2023.

Change in methodology**(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

Change in boundary**(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

Change in physical operating conditions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

Unidentified

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

Other

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

[Fixed row]

(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?

Select from:

Location-based

(7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?

Select from:

Yes

(7.12.1) Provide the emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization in metric tons CO2.

(7.12.1.1) CO2 emissions from biogenic carbon (metric tons CO2)

1468065

(7.12.1.2) Comment

Biogenic emissions occurred at our Canton and Pine Bluff paper mills and included emissions from Black Liquor, Bark, Sawdust, Wood Waste, and Wastewater Treatment Plant Sludge.

[Fixed row]

(7.13) Is biogenic carbon pertaining to your direct operations relevant to your current CDP climate change disclosure?

Select from:

Yes

(7.13.1) Account for biogenic carbon data pertaining to your direct operations and identify any exclusions.

Sequestration during land use change

(7.13.1.1) Emissions (metric tons CO2)

0

(7.13.1.2) Methodology

Select all that apply

Other, please specify :N/A

(7.13.1.3) Please explain

N/A

CO2 emissions from biofuel combustion (land machinery)

(7.13.1.1) Emissions (metric tons CO2)

0

(7.13.1.2) Methodology

Select all that apply

Other, please specify :N/A

(7.13.1.3) Please explain

N/A

CO2 emissions from biofuel combustion (processing/manufacturing machinery)

(7.13.1.1) Emissions (metric tons CO2)

1468065

(7.13.1.2) Methodology

Select all that apply

Default emissions factors

Other, please specify :See notes

(7.13.1.3) Please explain

Biogenic emissions from the use of black liquor, bark, sawdust, wood waste and waste water treatment plant sludge. Emissions factors used: Black Liquor: Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40, Chapter I, Subchapter C, Part 98: Table AA-1 to Subpart AA - Kraft Pulping Liquor Emissions Factors for Biomass-Based CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O Bark (own make), Sawdust, Wood Waste: Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40, Chapter I, Subchapter C, Part 98: Table C-1 to Subpart C - Default CO₂ Emission Factors and High Heat Values for Various Types of Fuel; Table C-2 to Subpart C of Part 98 - Default CH₄ and N₂O Emission Factors for Various Types of Fuel WWTP sludge: CO₂ factor from Holderbank 2000.

CO₂ emissions from biofuel combustion (other)

(7.13.1.1) Emissions (metric tons CO₂)

0

(7.13.1.2) Methodology

Select all that apply

Other, please specify :N/A

(7.13.1.3) Please explain

N/A

[Fixed row]

(7.14) Do you calculate greenhouse gas emissions for each agricultural commodity reported as significant to your business?

Timber products

(7.14.1) GHG emissions calculated for this commodity

Select from:

Yes

(7.14.2) Reporting emissions by

Select from:

Total

(7.14.3) Emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1873783

(7.14.4) Denominator: unit of production

Select from:

Other, please specify :Total emissions from procured wood and fiber

(7.14.5) Change from last reporting year

Select from:

Lower

(7.14.6) Please explain

Emissions from timber are reported as part as Scope 3 - Category 1 Procured Goods and Services. Emissions are much lower in 2023 following the end of operations in paper mill located in Canton, NC.

[Fixed row]

(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?

Select from:

No

(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.

	Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
Bahrain	0	0	0
Canada	1121	448	0
El Salvador	0	0	0
Israel	0	0	0
Mexico	35525	45501	0
United States of America	594506	816440	0

[Fixed row]

(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

By business division

(7.17.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business division.

	Business division	Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)
Row 1	Corporate	156
Row 3	Food and Beverage Merchandising	584387
Row 4	Foodservice	46709

[Add row]

(7.18) Do you include emissions pertaining to your business activity(ies) in your direct operations as part of your global gross Scope 1 figure?

Select from:

Yes

(7.18.2) Report the Scope 1 emissions pertaining to your business activity(ies) and explain any exclusions. If applicable, disaggregate your agricultural/forestry by GHG emissions category.

Row 1

(7.18.2.1) Activity

Select from:

Processing/Manufacturing

(7.18.2.3) Emissions (metric tons CO2e)

625536

(7.18.2.4) Methodology

Select all that apply

Default emissions factor

(7.18.2.5) Please explain

Please see notes in question 7.6. No exclusions.

Row 2

(7.18.2.1) Activity

Select from:

Distribution

(7.18.2.3) Emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5559

(7.18.2.4) Methodology

Select all that apply

Default emissions factor

(7.18.2.5) Please explain

Please see notes in question 7.6. No exclusions.

[Add row]

(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

By business division

(7.20.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business division.

	Business division	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	Corporate	2360	0
Row 2	Food and Beverage Merchandising	516362	0
Row 4	Foodservice	344048	0

[Add row]

(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.

Consolidated accounting group

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

631252

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

862389

(7.22.4) Please explain

All the reported emissions under our operational boundary are part of our consolidated accounting group. Some entities in our consolidated accounting group are not part of our operational boundary for GHG reporting. In accordance with the GHG Protocol, Pactiv Evergreen utilized the operational control approach for determination of the organizational boundary for reporting the metrics. The organizational boundary that management has defined is not based on or intended to represent how our operations are consolidated and reported under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).

All other entities

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.4) Please explain

Other entities are not part of our operational boundary for GHG emissions inventory.

[Fixed row]

(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?

Select from:

No

(7.26) Allocate your emissions to your customers listed below according to the goods or services you have sold them in this reporting period.

(7.27) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?

Row 1

(7.27.1) Allocation challenges

Select from:

Managing the different emission factors of diverse and numerous geographies makes calculating total footprint difficult

(7.27.2) Please explain what would help you overcome these challenges

We are testing location-based emissions calculations and alignment with customer data, but are not ready to use it.

Row 2

(7.27.1) Allocation challenges

Select from:

Diversity of product lines makes accurately accounting for each product/product line cost ineffective

(7.27.2) Please explain what would help you overcome these challenges

We are researching tools that would allow us to estimate emissions at the SKU level, which would allow for a more precise allocation of emissions based on the SKU mix purchased by each customer.

[Add row]

(7.28) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

(7.28.1) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

Select from:

Yes

(7.28.2) Describe how you plan to develop your capabilities

We are researching tools that would allow us to estimate emissions at the SKU level, which would allow for a more precise allocation of emissions based on the SKU mix purchased by each customer. We are also testing location-based emissions calculations and alignment with customer data, but are not ready to use it.

[Fixed row]

(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?

Select from:

More than 5% but less than or equal to 10%

(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	Select from:

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.30.1) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.

Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

HHV (higher heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

4470494

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

2957254

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

7427748

Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

HHV (higher heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

1749480

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

1749480

Consumption of purchased or acquired steam

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

HHV (higher heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

1067734

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

1067734

Consumption of self-generated non-fuel renewable energy

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

HHV (higher heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

52832

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

52832

Total energy consumption

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

HHV (higher heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

4523325

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

5775566

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

10298891

[Fixed row]

(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel.

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.

Sustainable biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

4470494

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Energy from biomass was used at our two paper mills. Sources included Black Liquor, Bark, Sawdust, Wood Waste, and Waste Water Treatment Plant Sludge.

Other biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

N/A

Other renewable fuels (e.g. renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

N/A

Coal

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

553334

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Coal was used in our Canton, North Carolina paper mill. Note that this mill closed down during the second quarter of 2023.

Oil

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

210653

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Fuel N2 and N6, propane, diesel, gasoline, and kerosene.

Gas

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Natural Gas

Other non-renewable fuels (e.g. non-renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

30842

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

This represents tire-derived fuel used in our paper mill in Pine Bluff.

Total fuel

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

7427747

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

*Total fuel consumption from Biomass, Coal, Natural Gas, Propane, Fuel N2, Fuel N6, diesel, gasoline, kerosene, and tire-derived fuel.
[Fixed row]*

(7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.

Electricity

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

52832

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

52832

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Heat

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Steam

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Cooling

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

[Fixed row]

(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.

Bahrain

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Canada

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

13063

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

13063.00

El Salvador

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Israel

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Mexico

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

51051

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

52832

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

103883.00

United States of America

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1686464

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1686464.00

[Fixed row]

(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.

Row 1

(7.45.1) Intensity figure

0.00027

(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

1494021

(7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:

unit total revenue

(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

5510000000

(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

Location-based

(7.45.6) % change from previous year

19

(7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

Decreased

(7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

Other, please specify :Organic decline

(7.45.9) Please explain

Closure of Canton paper mill.

Row 2

(7.45.1) Intensity figure

1.1

(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

1494021

(7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:

metric ton of product

(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

1354827

(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

Location-based

(7.45.6) % change from previous year

17

(7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

Decreased

(7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

Other, please specify :Organic decline

(7.45.9) Please explain

Closure of Canton paper mill

[Add row]

(7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.

Row 1

(7.52.1) Description

Select from:

Energy usage

(7.52.2) Metric value

7.6

(7.52.3) Metric numerator

10,298,891, total energy consumption

(7.52.4) Metric denominator (intensity metric only)

1,354,827, metric tons finished goods

(7.52.5) % change from previous year

24

(7.52.6) Direction of change

Select from:

Decreased

(7.52.7) Please explain

Closure of Canton Mill.

[Add row]

(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

Absolute target

(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.

Row 1

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

Abs 1

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

Yes, we consider this a science-based target, but we have not committed to seek validation of this target by the Science Based Targets initiative within the next two years

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

1.5°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

07/31/2024

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

- Methane (CH4)
- Nitrous oxide (N2O)
- Carbon dioxide (CO2)
- Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)
- Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)
- Sulphur hexafluoride (SF6)
- Nitrogen trifluoride (NF3)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

- Scope 1
- Scope 2

(7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

- Location-based

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

12/31/2022

(7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

1133781

(7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

954130

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

2087911.000

(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1

100

(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2

100

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

100

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

12/31/2030

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

42

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

1210988.380

(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

631252

(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

862769

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

1494021.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

67.72

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Underway

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

In accordance with the GHG Protocol, Pactiv Evergreen uses an operational control approach to consolidate our GHG emissions. Under the operational control approach, Pactiv Evergreen accounts for 100% of the GHG emissions from its operations over which it can direct the operating policies, including owned and leased facilities in the US, Canada, and Mexico. Information related to divested businesses are excluded from the metrics for the entire reporting year in the year divested. As such, the information presented for both the baseline and the reporting years excludes our international closures businesses that were divested in those years. We are not excluding any greenhouse gases, fuels or energy sources, or facilities in our organizational boundary.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

We strive to operate with respect for the environment, and we are committed to sustainability across our product portfolio, our manufacturing and supply chain and our communities. Through these activities, we aim to advance our purpose of Packaging a Better Future and strategically support our customers' journeys toward their climate goals.

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

Building on the progress we have made with recent changes reducing the emissions of our company's operating footprint, we intend to leverage innovative energy efficiency projects; increase our use and support of renewable energy. In 2023 we achieved a 28% reduction in Scope 1 and 2 emissions.

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

No

Row 2

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

Abs 2

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

Yes, we consider this a science-based target, but we have not committed to seek validation of this target by the Science Based Targets initiative within the next two years

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

Well-below 2°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

07/31/2024

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

- Methane (CH4)
- Nitrous oxide (N2O)
- Carbon dioxide (CO2)
- Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)
- Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)
- Sulphur hexafluoride (SF6)
- Nitrogen trifluoride (NF3)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

- Scope 3

(7.53.1.10) Scope 3 categories

Select all that apply

- Scope 3, Category 2 – Capital goods
- Scope 3, Category 6 – Business travel
- Scope 3, Category 7 – Employee commuting
- Scope 3, Category 11 – Use of sold products (Scope 1 or 2)
- Scope 3, Category 1 – Purchased goods and services
- Scope 3, Category 5 – Waste generated in operations
- Scope 3, Category 4 – Upstream transportation and distribution
- Scope 3, Category 9 – Downstream transportation and distribution
- Scope 3, Category 3 – Fuel- and energy- related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

12/31/2022

(7.53.1.14) Base year Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

5637193

(7.53.1.15) Base year Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

25057

(7.53.1.16) Base year Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

1030641

(7.53.1.17) Base year Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

701704

(7.53.1.18) Base year Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

292860

(7.53.1.19) Base year Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

3062

(7.53.1.20) Base year Scope 3, Category 7: Employee commuting emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

17514

(7.53.1.22) Base year Scope 3, Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

2032

(7.53.1.24) Base year Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

85744

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

7795807.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

7795807.000

(7.53.1.35) Base year Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.36) Base year Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.37) Base year Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.38) Base year Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.39) Base year Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.40) Base year Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.41) Base year Scope 3, Category 7: Employee commuting covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 7: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.43) Base year Scope 3, Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.45) Base year Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.52) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3 (in all Scope 3 categories)

100

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

100

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

12/31/2030

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

25

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

5846855.250

(7.53.1.59) Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

4242231

(7.53.1.60) Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

18420

(7.53.1.61) Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

933255

(7.53.1.62) Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

544674

(7.53.1.63) Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

141622

(7.53.1.64) Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

3510

(7.53.1.65) Scope 3, Category 7: Employee commuting emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

9147

(7.53.1.67) Scope 3, Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

1398

(7.53.1.69) Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

100466

(7.53.1.76) Total Scope 3 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

5994723.000

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

5994723.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

92.41

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Underway

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

In accordance with the GHG Protocol, Pactiv Evergreen uses an operational control approach to consolidate our GHG emissions. Under the operational control approach, Pactiv Evergreen accounts for 100% of the GHG emissions from its operations over which it can direct the operating policies, including owned and leased facilities in the US, Canada, and Mexico. Information related to divested businesses are excluded from the metrics for the entire reporting year in the year divested. As such, the information presented for both the baseline and the reporting years excludes our international closures businesses that were divested in those years. We are not excluding any greenhouse gases, fuels or energy sources, or facilities in our organizational boundary. In Scope 3 categories 8, 10, 13, 14, and 15 were excluded as they are not applicable to our business. Since our relevant Scope 3 emissions represent 40% or more of scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions, scope 3 emissions are included in our targets and cover 100% of total relevant Scope 3 emissions.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

We strive to operate with respect for the environment, and we are committed to sustainability across our product portfolio, our manufacturing and supply chain and our communities. Through these activities, we aim to advance our purpose of Packaging a Better Future and strategically support our customers' journeys toward their climate goals.

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

Building on the progress we have made with recent changes reducing the emissions of our company's operating footprint and partner with large suppliers to reduce their contributions to our Scope 3 emissions. In 2023 we achieved a 23% reduction in Scope 3 emissions.

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

No

[Add row]

(7.53.3) Explain why you did not have an emissions target, and forecast how your emissions will change over the next five years.

(7.53.3.1) Primary reason

Select from:

- We are planning to introduce a target in the next two years

(7.53.3.3) Please explain

In July 2022, we committed to setting Net Zero greenhouse gas emissions targets with SBTi. At the time of the CDP deadline for 2022 reporting, we were still finalizing our targets for SBTi review, including parameters and ambitions for Scope 1, 2, and 3. We are planning to submit our targets to SBTi by Q2, 2024. [Fixed row]

(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

- No other climate-related targets

(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.

Select from:

- Yes

(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e (only for rows marked *)
Under investigation	0	<i>Numeric input</i>
To be implemented	0	0
Implementation commenced	1	1600000
Implemented	12	26190

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e (only for rows marked *)
Not to be implemented	0	<i>Numeric input</i>

[Fixed row]

(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.

Row 1

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Company policy or behavioral change

- Site consolidation/closure

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

1550000

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

- Scope 1
- Scope 2 (location-based)
- Scope 3 category 2: Capital goods
- Scope 3 category 6: Business travel
- Scope 3 category 7: Employee commuting 1 or 2)
- Scope 3 category 1: Purchased goods & services
- Scope 3 category 5: Waste generated in operations
- Scope 3 category 4: Upstream transportation & distribution
- Scope 3 category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution
- Scope 3 category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

0

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

No payback

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

1-2 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Closure of our Canton paper mill results in decrease of Scope 1 and 2 emissions, as well as several categories of Scope 3 emissions.

Row 2

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

Cooling technology

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

- Scope 1
- Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

- Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

0

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

- 1-3 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

- 11-15 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

This represents cooling towers and HVAC improvements in four locations.

Row 3

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

- Smart control system

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

8520

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

- Scope 1
- Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

- Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

0

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

- 1-3 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

11-15 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

This represents improvements in our Energy Management Information System in four locations.

Row 4

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

Compressed air

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

5980

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

0

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

1-3 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

11-15 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

This represents compressed air improvements in four locations.

[Add row]

(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?

Row 1

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

Internal finance mechanisms

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Our energy projects include projections of emissions reductions as part of the capital investment process.

[Add row]

(7.68) Do you encourage your suppliers to undertake any agricultural or forest management practices with climate change mitigation and/or adaptation benefits?

Select from:

Yes

(7.68.1) Specify which agricultural or forest management practices with climate change mitigation and/or adaptation benefits you encourage your suppliers to undertake and describe your role in the implementation of each practice.

Row 1

(7.68.1.1) Management practice reference number

Select from:

MP1

(7.68.1.2) Management practice

Select from:

Enhanced forest regeneration practices

(7.68.1.3) Description of management practice

Pactiv Evergreen engages with suppliers and smallholders in the following ways: 1. Procurement foresters hold quarterly meetings with wood suppliers and forest land owners to present important materials such as wood costs, inventories, and relevant compliance issues such as best management practices for logging, that need to be addressed. 2. The fiber procurement staff, visit chip mills and sawmills quarterly to review compliance status with Pactiv Evergreen's forest certification standards and ensures that BMP monitoring reports are being completed in a timely manner. 3. We help to organize annual logger training sessions for loggers at our participating chip mills. 4. Technical information and materials are disseminated to our wood procurement supply chain as they become available. These items are sent via email distribution lists to all of our suppliers including forest land owners, loggers, wood buyers, chip mills, and sawmills. Pactiv Evergreen is a FSC and SFI certificate holder which allows us to participate in the Small and Low Intensity Managed Forests (SLIMF) program. The SLIMF provides a streamlined approach to certifying landowners under 1,000 hectares. In addition to the SLIMF, Pactiv Evergreen also participated in the FSC Smallholder Access Pilot Program. This program focuses on forests less than 100 hectares and helps establish a pathway for these smaller landowners to certify their forests to the FSC standard. The pilot was a success and is being presented to FSC international as a proposed addition to the FSC standard. 5. Pactiv Evergreen fiber procurement team serves in leadership roles for the Arkansas Forestry Association and SFI State Implementation Committee (SIC) which serves landowners and the wood supply chain with educational

material for compliance with certification standards required in our fiber procurement program. 6. Pactiv Evergreen fiber procurement team hold leadership positions with the Forest Resources Association (FRA) that hosts local, regional, and national events focused on wood supply chain sustainability and operational safety

(7.68.1.4) Your role in the implementation

Select all that apply

- Financial
- Knowledge sharing
- Operational

(7.68.1.5) Explanation of how you encourage implementation

Pactiv Evergreen engages with suppliers and smallholders in the following ways: 1. Procurement foresters hold quarterly meetings with wood suppliers and forest land owners to present important materials such as wood costs, inventories, and relevant compliance issues such as best management practices for logging, that need to be addressed. 2. The fiber procurement staff, visit chip mills and sawmills quarterly to review compliance status with Pactiv Evergreen's forest certification standards and ensures that BMP monitoring reports are being completed in a timely manner. 3. We help to organize annual logger training sessions for loggers at our participating chip mills. 4. Technical information and materials are disseminated to our wood procurement supply chain as they become available. These items are sent via email distribution lists to all of our suppliers including forest land owners, loggers, wood buyers, chip mills, and sawmills. Pactiv Evergreen is a FSC and SFI certificate holder which allows us to participate in the Small and Low Intensity Managed Forests (SLIMF) program. The SLIMF provides a streamlined approach to certifying landowners under 1,000 hectares. In addition to the SLIMF, Pactiv Evergreen also participated in the FSC Smallholder Access Pilot Program. This program focuses on forests less than 100 hectares and helps establish a pathway for these smaller landowners to certify their forests to the FSC standard. The pilot was a success and is being presented to FSC international as a proposed addition to the FSC standard. 5. Pactiv Evergreen fiber procurement team serves in leadership roles for the Arkansas Forestry Association and SFI State Implementation Committee (SIC) which serves landowners and the wood supply chain with educational material for compliance with certification standards required in our fiber procurement program. 6. Pactiv Evergreen fiber procurement team hold leadership positions with the Forest Resources Association (FRA) that hosts local, regional, and national events focused on wood supply chain sustainability and operational safety

(7.68.1.6) Climate change related benefit

Select all that apply

- Increasing resilience to climate change (adaptation)

(7.68.1.7) Comment

N/A

[Add row]

(7.68.2) Do you collect information from your suppliers about the outcomes of any implemented agricultural/forest management practices you have encouraged?

Select from:

Yes

(7.70) Do you know if any of the management practices mentioned in 7.68.1 that were implemented by your suppliers have other impacts besides climate change mitigation/adaptation?

Select from:

Yes

(7.70.1) Provide details of those management practices implemented by your suppliers that have other impacts besides climate change mitigation/adaptation.

Row 1

(7.70.1.1) Management practice reference number

Select from:

MP1

(7.70.1.2) Overall effect

Select from:

Positive

(7.70.1.3) Which of the following has been impacted?

Select all that apply

Biodiversity

(7.70.1.4) Description of impacts

Increase of forest cover.

(7.70.1.5) Have any response to these impacts been implemented?

Select from:

Yes

(7.70.1.6) Description of the response(s)

In 2022, we undertook a forest loss analysis based on the forests supporting our mill operations. Our analysis showed no net forest loss for the decade from 2011 to 2021 for the entire sourcing area. In fact, the study results indicate an overall 10-year increase in forest cover.

[Add row]

(7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization's goods or services?

Select from:

No, I am not providing data

(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?

Select from:

Yes

(7.74.1) Provide details of your products and/or services that you classify as low-carbon products.

Row 1

(7.74.1.1) Level of aggregation

Select from:

Group of products or services

(7.74.1.2) Taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low-carbon

Select from:

Climate Bonds Taxonomy

(7.74.1.3) Type of product(s) or service(s)

Pulp and paper

Other, please specify :Paper Packaging

(7.74.1.4) Description of product(s) or service(s)

We manufacture and sell paper and paper packaging made from renewable materials, such as tree fibers. In 2023, 55% of the energy used to make our paper and paperboard packaging was renewable energy generated from biomass.

(7.74.1.5) Have you estimated the avoided emissions of this low-carbon product(s) or service(s)

Select from:

No

(7.74.1.13) Revenue generated from low-carbon product(s) or service(s) as % of total revenue in the reporting year

30

[Add row]

(7.79) Has your organization canceled any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?

Select from:

No

C8. Environmental performance - Forests

(8.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of forests-related data?

	Exclusion from disclosure
Timber products	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

(8.2) Provide a breakdown of your disclosure volume per commodity.

	Disclosure volume (metric tons)	Volume type	Sourced volume (metric tons)
Timber products	753336	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sourced	753336

[Fixed row]

(8.5) Provide details on the origins of your sourced volumes.

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

- United States of America

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

- Unknown

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

744299

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

- Independent smallholders
- Single contracted producer
- Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

(8.5.7) Please explain

N/A

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

- Sweden

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

- Unknown

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

9036

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

Single contracted producer

(8.5.7) Please explain

N/A

[Add row]

(8.7) Did your organization have a no-deforestation or no-conversion target, or any other targets for sustainable production/ sourcing of your disclosed commodities, active in the reporting year?

Timber products

(8.7.1) Active no-deforestation or no-conversion target

Select from:

Yes, we have a no-deforestation target

(8.7.2) No-deforestation or no-conversion target coverage

Select from:

Organization-wide (direct operations only)

(8.7.5) Other active targets related to this commodity, including any which contribute to your no-deforestation or no-conversion target

Select from:

Yes, we have other targets related to this commodity

[Fixed row]

(8.7.1) Provide details on your no-deforestation or no-conversion target that was active during the reporting year.

Timber products

(8.7.1.1) No-deforestation or no-conversion target

Select from:

No-deforestation

(8.7.1.2) Your organization's definition of "no-deforestation" or "no-conversion"

Sustainable sourcing for our mill operations, increasing forest cover across our wood basin and keeping ourselves and our suppliers accountable. See more details here: <https://investors.pactivevergreen.com/static-files/6aa6c359-4d5b-4f7e-b78f-c4ca6b493358>

(8.7.1.3) Cutoff date

Select from:

No cutoff date

(8.7.1.6) Target date for achieving no-deforestation or no-conversion

Select from:

2023

[Add row]

(8.7.2) Provide details of other targets related to your commodities, including any which contribute to your no-deforestation or no-conversion target, and progress made against them.

Timber products

(8.7.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

- Target 1

(8.7.2.2) Target contributes to no-deforestation or no-conversion target reported in 8.7

Select from:

- Yes, this target contributes to our no-deforestation target

(8.7.2.3) Target coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide (including suppliers)

(8.7.2.4) Commodity volume covered by target (metric tons)

Select from:

- Total commodity volume

(8.7.2.5) Category of target & Quantitative metric

Third-party certification

- % of volume third-party certified

(8.7.2.7) Third-party certification scheme

Forest management unit/Producer certification

- Other forest management/producer certification, please specify :FSC Controlled Wood or SFI Fiber Sourcing

(8.7.2.9) End date of base year

12/31/2022

(8.7.2.11) End date of target

12/31/2025

(8.7.2.12) Target year figure

100

(8.7.2.13) Reporting year figure

100

(8.7.2.14) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Achieved

(8.7.2.16) Global environmental treaties/ initiatives/ frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

Select all that apply

Sustainable Development Goals

(8.7.2.17) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

By 2025, 100% sourced virgin fiber meets FSC Controlled Wood, SFI Certified Sourcing or PEFC Controlled Sources standards

(8.7.2.19) List the actions which contributed most to achieving or maintaining this target

Supplier engagement

(8.7.2.20) Further details of target

In 2023 we sourced 99.8% of our virgin fiber needs from sources that were SFI and FSC certified, and the remaining 0.2% was certified under PEFC Controlled Sources.

Timber products

(8.7.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

- Target 3

(8.7.2.2) Target contributes to no-deforestation or no-conversion target reported in 8.7

Select from:

- Yes, this target contributes to our no-deforestation target

(8.7.2.3) Target coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide (direct operations only)

(8.7.2.4) Commodity volume covered by target (metric tons)

Select from:

- Other volume, please specify :Percentage of facilities with certification

(8.7.2.5) Category of target & Quantitative metric

Performance of owned or managed processing facilities

- Other owned or managed processing facilities performance target metric, please specify :% of facilities chain of custody certified

(8.7.2.9) End date of base year

12/31/2022

(8.7.2.11) End date of target

12/31/2025

(8.7.2.13) Reporting year figure

(8.7.2.14) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Achieved

(8.7.2.16) Global environmental treaties/ initiatives/ frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

Select all that apply

None, no alignment after assessment

(8.7.2.17) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

100% applicable facilities in North America are chain of custody certified.

(8.7.2.19) List the actions which contributed most to achieving or maintaining this target

Training teams to meet certification standards according to certification protocols.

(8.7.2.20) Further details of target

Chain of Custody certifications of our facilities ensure we follow strict standards to track forest fiber content (forest content, certified sourcing and recycled content) through production and manufacturing of the end product.

[Add row]

(8.8) Indicate if your organization has a traceability system to determine the origins of your sourced volumes and provide details of the methods and tools used.

Timber products**(8.8.1) Traceability system**

Select from:

Yes

(8.8.2) Methods/tools used in traceability system

Select all that apply

Chain-of-custody certification

Other, please specify

(8.8.3) Description of methods/tools used in traceability system

FSC, SFI, and PEFC chain of custody.

[Fixed row]

(8.8.1) Provide details of the point to which your organization can trace its sourced volumes.

Timber products

(8.8.1.1) % of sourced volume traceable to production unit

0

(8.8.1.2) % of sourced volume traceable to sourcing area and not to production unit

100

(8.8.1.3) % sourced volume traceable to country/area of origin and not to sourcing area or production unit

0

(8.8.1.4) % of sourced volume traceable to other point (i.e., processing facility/first importer) not in the country/area of origin

0

(8.8.1.5) % of sourced volume from unknown origin

0

(8.8.1.6) % of sourced volume reported

100.00
[Fixed row]

(8.9) Provide details of your organization's assessment of the deforestation-free (DF) or deforestation- and conversion-free (DCF) status of its disclosed commodities.

Timber products

(8.9.1) DF/DCF status assessed for this commodity

Select from:

Yes, deforestation- and conversion-free (DCF) status assessed

(8.9.2) % of disclosure volume determined as DF/DCF in the reporting year

100

(8.9.3) % of disclosure volume determined as DF/DCF through a third-party certification scheme providing full DF/DCF assurance

100

(8.9.4) % of disclosure volume determined as DF/DCF through monitoring of production unit

0

(8.9.5) % of disclosure volume determined as DF/DCF through monitoring of sourcing area

(8.9.6) Is a proportion of your disclosure volume certified through a scheme not providing full DF/DCF assurance?

Select from:

No

[Fixed row]

(8.9.1) Provide details of third-party certification schemes used to determine the deforestation-free (DF) or deforestation- and conversion-free (DCF) status of the disclosure volume, since specified cutoff date.

Timber products

(8.9.1.1) Third-party certification scheme providing full DF/DCF assurance

Forest management unit/Producer certification

FSC Controlled Wood

(8.9.1.2) % of disclosure volume determined as DF/DCF through certification scheme providing full DF/DCF assurance

99.8

(8.9.1.3) Comment

99.8% of our procured virgin fiber meets the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) Controlled Wood standard, which mitigates the risk of sourcing wood from forests that were harvested illegally or in violation of traditional and civil rights, forests where conservation practices are threatened by management activities, natural forests that were converted to non-forest uses and forests with genetically modified trees.

(8.9.1.4) Certification documentation

FSC COC and CW Certificate - PTVE 010084 v2.2.pdf

[Add row]

(8.10) Indicate whether you have monitored or estimated the deforestation and conversion of other natural ecosystems footprint for your disclosed commodities.

	Monitoring or estimating your deforestation and conversion footprint
Timber products	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(8.10.1) Provide details on the monitoring or estimating of your deforestation and conversion footprint.

Timber products

(8.10.1.1) Monitoring and estimating your deforestation and conversion footprint

Select from:

- We estimate the deforestation and conversion footprint based on sourcing area

(8.10.1.2) % of disclosure volume monitored or estimated

99

(8.10.1.3) Reporting of deforestation and conversion footprint

Select all that apply

- Other, please specify :2011-2021

(8.10.1.8) Known or estimated deforestation and conversion footprint since other specified point (hectares)

0

(8.10.1.9) Describe the methods and data sources used to monitor or estimate your deforestation and conversion footprint

In 2022 Pactiv Evergreen commissioned a forest loss analysis of the Canton and Pine Bluff wood baskets. The analysis estimated forest cover change as a percentage of total forested acreage within each wood basket and in total. The results indicated an overall ten-year increase in forest cover across both wood baskets. Pactiv Evergreen is certified to the FSC, SFI, and PEFC standards. As part of our fiber procurement program we conduct a risk assessment on the fiber purchased at our two pulp and paper mills. Pactiv Evergreen procurement foresters are tasked with conducting random Best Management Practice (BMP) inspections on forest tracts to confirm that they are being harvested in compliance with state BMPs and are not being converted. In addition, the state forestry departments in our procurement areas periodically publish BMP status reports that highlight specific areas of focus such as logger BMP inspections, precipitation forecasts and trends, and post-harvest inspections. Our procurement foresters review the state reports relevant to our procurement area and communicate or follow-up on any items identified that could impact our supply chain.

[Add row]

(8.12) Indicate if certification details are available for the commodity volumes sold to requesting CDP Supply Chain members.

(8.12.1) Provide details of the certified volumes sold to each requesting CDP Supply Chain member.

(8.13) Does your organization calculate the GHG emission reductions and/or removals from land use management and land use change that have occurred in your direct operations and/or upstream value chain?

Timber products

(8.13.1) GHG emissions reductions and removals from land use management and land use change calculated

Select from:

No, and do not plan to do so in the next two years

(8.13.2) Primary reason your organization does not calculate GHG emissions reductions and removals from land use management and land use change

Select from:

- No standardized procedure

(8.13.3) Explain why your organization does not calculate GHG emissions reductions and removals from land use management and land use change

*Pactiv Evergreen does not currently estimate the carbon impact of the harvesting activities within our supply chain. However, on an annual basis we utilize Forest Inventory and Analysis (FIA) data to determine growth vs. drain metrics. We have determined that growth exceeds drain in our wood procurement basins.
[Fixed row]*

(8.14) Indicate if you assess your own compliance and/or the compliance of your suppliers with forest regulations and/or mandatory standards, and provide details.

(8.14.1) Assess legal compliance with forest regulations

Select from:

- Yes, from suppliers

(8.14.2) Aspects of legislation considered

Select all that apply

- Labor rights
- Land use rights
- Third parties' rights
- Environmental protection
- Human rights protected under international law
- Tax, anti-corruption, trade and customs regulations
- Forest-related rules, including forest management and biodiversity conservation, where directly related to wood harvesting

(8.14.3) Procedure to ensure legal compliance

Select all that apply

- Certification

- First party audits
- Ground-based monitoring
- Supplier self-declaration
- Third party audits

(8.14.5) Please explain

*We do not source fiber from Brazil.
[Fixed row]*

(8.15) Do you engage in landscape (including jurisdictional) initiatives to progress shared sustainable land use goals?

(8.15.1) Engagement in landscape/jurisdictional initiatives

Select from:

- No, we do not engage in landscape/jurisdictional initiatives, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(8.15.2) Primary reason for not engaging in landscape/jurisdictional initiatives

Select from:

- No suitable initiatives to engage in

(8.15.3) Explain why your organization does not engage in landscape/jurisdictional initiatives

*N/A
[Fixed row]*

(8.16.1) Provide details of the external activities to support the implementation of your policies and commitments related to deforestation, ecosystem conversion, or human rights issues in commodity value chains

Row 1

(8.16.1.1) Commodity

Select all that apply

- Timber products

(8.16.1.2) Activities

Select all that apply

- Other, please specify :Chain of Custody

(8.16.1.3) Country/area

Select from:

- United States of America

(8.16.1.4) Subnational area

Select from:

- Not applicable

(8.16.1.5) Provide further details of the activity

We carry third party certificates for Fiber Sourcing and Chain-of-Custody. 100% of applicable Pactiv Evergreen facilities in North America are Chain of Custody certified. Certifications of our facilities ensure we follow strict standards to track forest fiber content (forest content, certified sourcing and recycled content) through production and manufacturing of the end product.

Row 2

(8.16.1.1) Commodity

Select all that apply

- Timber products

(8.16.1.2) Activities

Select all that apply

Involved in industry platforms

(8.16.1.3) Country/area

Select from:

United States of America

(8.16.1.4) Subnational area

Select from:

Not applicable

(8.16.1.5) Provide further details of the activity

As a leader in our industry, we have a responsibility to help support our value chain, promote and protect our products and advance a circular economy. We cannot do this alone, and so we work with a diverse group of stakeholders to advocate for our and our industry's interests, as well as collaborate to expand opportunities for the sourcing of our materials and end-of life of our products. For instance, we are members of the American Forest and Paper Association, the Forest Resource Association, the Paper and Packaging Board and the Pulp and Paper Products Council.

[Add row]

C9. Environmental performance - Water security

(9.1.1) Provide details on these exclusions.

Row 1

(9.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

Facilities

(9.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

Calgary

(9.1.1.3) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

Data is not available

(9.1.1.4) Primary reason why data is not available

Select from:

Challenges associated with data collection and/or quality

(9.1.1.7) Percentage of water volume the exclusion represents

Select from:

Unknown

(9.1.1.8) Please explain

This warehousing site does not receive water data. Water usage is very small (bathrooms).

Row 2

(9.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

Facilities

(9.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

Downington Boot Road

(9.1.1.3) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

Shared premises

(9.1.1.7) Percentage of water volume the exclusion represents

Select from:

Unknown

(9.1.1.8) Please explain

This site water intake is shared with another site.

Row 3

(9.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

Facilities

(9.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

Huntersville

(9.1.1.3) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

- Data is not available

(9.1.1.4) Primary reason why data is not available

Select from:

- Challenges associated with data collection and/or quality

(9.1.1.7) Percentage of water volume the exclusion represents

Select from:

- Less than 1%

(9.1.1.8) Please explain

This warehousing site does not receive water data. Water usage is very small (bathrooms).

Row 4

(9.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

- Facilities

(9.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

Jalostotitlan

(9.1.1.3) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

- Data is not available

(9.1.1.4) Primary reason why data is not available

Select from:

- Challenges associated with data collection and/or quality

(9.1.1.7) Percentage of water volume the exclusion represents

Select from:

- Unknown

(9.1.1.8) Please explain

The utilities company only provides the dollar amount for the invoice, not the water intake or discharge.

Row 6

(9.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

- Facilities

(9.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

Monterrey

(9.1.1.3) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

- Data is not available

(9.1.1.4) Primary reason why data is not available

Select from:

- Challenges associated with data collection and/or quality

(9.1.1.7) Percentage of water volume the exclusion represents

Select from:

Unknown

(9.1.1.8) Please explain

This site does not have intake meters.

Row 7

(9.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

Facilities

(9.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

Olmstead Falls

(9.1.1.3) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

Divestiture

(9.1.1.7) Percentage of water volume the exclusion represents

Select from:

Unknown

(9.1.1.8) Please explain

This site was closed during the reporting year and did not provide data.

Row 8

(9.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

Facilities

(9.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

Romeoville 1101 Airport Rd

(9.1.1.3) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

Shared premises

(9.1.1.7) Percentage of water volume the exclusion represents

Select from:

Unknown

(9.1.1.8) Please explain

This site water intake is shared with another site.

Row 10

(9.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

Facilities

(9.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

Santa Fe Springs

(9.1.1.3) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

- Shared premises

(9.1.1.7) Percentage of water volume the exclusion represents

Select from:

- Unknown

(9.1.1.8) Please explain

The site does not receive a water bill or consumption information. The water charge is split between tenants.

Row 11

(9.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

- Facilities

(9.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

Tlaxcala

(9.1.1.3) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

- Data is not available

(9.1.1.4) Primary reason why data is not available

Select from:

- Challenges associated with data collection and/or quality

(9.1.1.7) Percentage of water volume the exclusion represents

Select from:

Unknown

(9.1.1.8) Please explain

This site does not have intake meters.

[Add row]

(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Flow meters

(9.2.4) Please explain

We receive water consumption from utility companies or meter readings by our environmental staff.

Water withdrawals – volumes by source

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

We measure our intake with flow meters and compare it to the source.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Six of our facilities have wells, one gets water from surface water, while the remainder receives water from city/municipality services.

Water withdrawals quality

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

26-50

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Other, please specify :15 facilities test daily, one monthly, one quarterly, one biannually, and one annually.

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Almost all facilities test water through flow meters, except for one which estimates based on incoming volume.

(9.2.4) Please explain

19 facilities test water regularly, all are manufacturing facilities with discharge permits. The other facilities with no monitoring are either facilities with no permitting requirements or warehouses with no significant intake or discharge.

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

A number of our facilities do not have any discharge meters, making it impossible to accurately account for discharge volumes.

Water discharges – volumes by destination

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

A number of our facilities do not have any discharge meters, making it impossible to accurately account for discharge volumes.

Water discharges – volumes by treatment method

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

A number of our facilities do not have any discharge meters, making it impossible to accurately account for discharge volumes.

Water discharge quality – by standard effluent parameters

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

26-50

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Other, please specify :15 facilities test daily, one monthly, one quarterly, one biannually, and one annually.

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Almost all facilities test water through flow meters, except for one which estimates based on incoming volume.

(9.2.4) Please explain

19 facilities test water regularly, all are manufacturing facilities with discharge permits. The other facilities with no monitoring are either facilities with no permitting requirements or warehouses with no significant intake or discharge. Most have monitoring requirements for some combination of BOD, oil and grease, TSS, COD and metals. A few will have annual monitoring for a wide array of parameters (Metals, mercury, chloride, phenolics, chemical oxygen demand, total dissolved solids, settleable solids, hexavalent chrome, total phosphorus, semi-volatile organic compounds, total residual chlorine, pH, cyanide, oil & grease, volatile organic compounds).

Water discharge quality – emissions to water (nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and/or other priority substances)

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

26-50

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Other, please specify :15 facilities test daily, one monthly, one quarterly, one biannually, and one annually.

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Almost all facilities test water through flow meters, except for one which estimates based on incoming volume.

(9.2.4) Please explain

19 facilities test water regularly, all are manufacturing facilities with discharge permits. The other facilities with no monitoring are either facilities with no permitting requirements or warehouses with no significant intake or discharge. Most have monitoring requirements for some combination of BOD, oil and grease, TSS, COD and metals. A few will have annual monitoring for a wide array of parameters (Metals, mercury, chloride, phenolics, chemical oxygen demand, total dissolved solids, settleable solids, hexavalent chrome, total phosphorus, semi-volatile organic compounds, total residual chlorine, pH, cyanide, oil & grease, volatile organic compounds).

Water discharge quality – temperature

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

N/A

Water consumption – total volume

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

A number of our facilities do not have any discharge meters, making it impossible to accurately account for discharge volumes and therefore calculate consumption.

Water recycled/reused

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

Not relevant

(9.2.4) Please explain

N/A

The provision of fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services to all workers

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Continuously

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

WASH services to all workers is a minimum provision in all facilities.

(9.2.4) Please explain

WASH services to all workers is a minimum provision in all facilities in accordance to local regulations. Compliance is ensured through internal processes as well as through various third-party audits, including SMETA audits.

[Fixed row]

(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?

Total withdrawals

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

59629

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much lower

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Facility closure

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

Lower

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

Facility closure

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Our water intake in 2023 was much lower due to the closure of our Canton paper mill in May 2023. Future years will have a full-year impact of the closure on water withdrawal.

Total discharges

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

0

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much lower

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Facility closure

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

Lower

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

Facility closure

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

A majority of our facilities do not have discharge meters. Since we can't measure discharge accurately, it is not possible to provide an accurate total consumption number. However, due to the closure of our Canton mill in May 2023 (which was responsible for a significant part of our water withdrawal and therefore discharge and consumption), future years water discharge and consumption are expected to be significantly lower.

Total consumption

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

0

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much lower

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Facility closure

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

Lower

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

Facility closure

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

A majority of our facilities do not have discharge meters. Since we can't measure discharge accurately, it is not possible to provide an accurate total consumption number. However, due to the closure of our Canton mill in May 2023 (which was responsible for a significant part of our water withdrawal and therefore discharge and consumption), future years water discharge and consumption are expected to be significantly lower.

[Fixed row]

(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.

(9.2.4.1) Withdrawals are from areas with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.2.4.2) Volume withdrawn from areas with water stress (megaliters)

478

(9.2.4.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Higher

(9.2.4.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Facility expansion

(9.2.4.5) Five-year forecast

Select from:

About the same

(9.2.4.6) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

Other, please specify :While there is an increase this year, it remains very small.

(9.2.4.7) % of total withdrawals that are withdrawn from areas with water stress

0.80

(9.2.4.8) Identification tool

Select all that apply

WRI Aqueduct

(9.2.4.9) Please explain

We rely on analysis from the Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas from the World Resources Institute to assess the water stress level of the regions where our plants are located. Assessments help us evolve our water management strategy, prioritizing facilities based on water usage and risk area. According to our assessment, while 12% of our facilities are located in medium-high to high water risk areas, over 99% of our water intake occurs in low or low-medium water risk areas.
[Fixed row]

(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.

Fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers, and lakes

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

23201

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Facility closure

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

This is our first year of measuring by source. However, due to the closure of our Canton mill, which was drawing water from a river, we know the intake from fresh surface water was considerably lower this year.

Brackish surface water/Seawater

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

N/A

Groundwater – renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

33739

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

This is our first year of measurement

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Unknown

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

This is our first year of measuring by source.

Groundwater – non-renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

N/A

Produced/Entrained water

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

N/A

Third party sources

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

2580

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

This is our first year of measurement

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Unknown

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

This is our first year of measuring by source.

[Fixed row]

(9.2.10) Provide details of your organization's emissions of nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and other priority substances to water in the reporting year.

	Please explain
	<i>Most of our sites are not required to track these emissions and therefore have no data.</i>

[Fixed row]

(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Direct operations

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

Yes, we have assessed this value chain stage and identified facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(9.3.2) Total number of facilities identified

9

(9.3.3) % of facilities in direct operations that this represents

Select from:

1-25

(9.3.4) Please explain

13% of our facilities (9 sites) are located in high or extremely high water risk areas, but they account for less than 1% of our total water intake.

Upstream value chain

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

No, we have not assessed this value chain stage for facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities, and are not planning to do so in the next 2 years

(9.3.4) Please explain

N/A

[Fixed row]

(9.3.1) For each facility referenced in 9.3, provide coordinates, water accounting data, and a comparison with the previous reporting year.

Row 1

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 1

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Chihuahua

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Absence of discharge meters.

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

Bravo

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

28.716884

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-106.114848

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

157

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Higher

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

157

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

We do not have discharge meters and therefore are not able to measure discharge.

Row 2

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 2

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Jalostotitlan

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

No

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

There are no meters in the facility, just an overall invoice from the utility company.

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

Other, please specify :Mexico - Lerma

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

21.167823

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-102.467374

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

N/A

Row 3

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 3

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Bakersfield

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Absence of discharge meters.

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States Virgin Islands

Other, please specify :King / Kaweah / Deer / Poso / Kern / Tulare Lake / Buena Vista Lake

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

35.42858

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-119.060212

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

49

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

49

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

We do not have discharge meters and therefore are not able to measure discharge.

Row 4

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 4

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

- Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

- Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

- Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Absence of discharge meters.

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

- Other, please specify :Goose

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

42.527061

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-113.812955

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

217

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

217

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

We do not have discharge meters and therefore are not able to measure discharge.

Row 5

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 5

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Fresno

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Absence of discharge meters.

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

Other, please specify :Middle San Joaquin/ Chowchilla / Fresno / Panoche

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

36.673804

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-119.711057

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

26

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

26

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

We do not have discharge meters and therefore are not able to measure discharge.

Row 6

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 6

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Middleton

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Absence of discharge meters.

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

Other, please specify :San Gabriel

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.99883

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-117.894206

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

19

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

19

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

We do not have discharge meters and therefore are not able to measure discharge.

Row 7

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 7

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

San Bernardino

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Absence of discharge meters.

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

Other, please specify :San Gabriel

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

34.087516

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-117.263871

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

10

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Higher

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

10

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

- About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

We do not have discharge meters and therefore are not able to measure discharge.

Row 8

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

- Facility 8

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Santa Fe Springs

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

- Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

- Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

- No

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

We receive no water bill as the water bill amount is simply split between tenants (leased facility).

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

Other, please specify :San Gabriel

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.961427

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-118.056224

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

N/A

Row 9

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 9

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Zapopan

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

No

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

There are no meters in the facility, just an overall invoice from the utility company.

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

Other, please specify :Calaveras

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

20.716806

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-103.455246

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

N/A

[Add row]

(9.3.2) For the facilities in your direct operations referenced in 9.3.1, what proportion of water accounting data has been third party verified?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

We have not planned assurance for water inventory.

Water withdrawals – volume by source

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

We have not planned assurance for water inventory.

Water withdrawals – quality by standard water quality parameters

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

We have not planned assurance for water inventory.

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

We have not planned assurance for water inventory.

Water discharges – volume by destination

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

We have not planned assurance for water inventory yet.

Water discharges – volume by final treatment level

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

We have not planned assurance for water inventory yet.

Water discharges – quality by standard water quality parameters

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

We have not planned assurance for water inventory yet.

Water consumption – total volume

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

We have not planned assurance for water inventory yet.

[Fixed row]

(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization's total water withdrawal efficiency.

(9.5.1) Revenue (currency)

5510000000

(9.5.2) Total water withdrawal efficiency

92404.70

(9.5.3) Anticipated forward trend

With the closure of one paper mill and the divestiture of another expected in Q4 2024, we expect our water intake to decrease drastically and our water efficiency to evolve as well.

[Fixed row]

(9.12) Provide any available water intensity values for your organization's products or services.

Row 1

(9.12.1) Product name

Overall water intensity

(9.12.2) Water intensity value

0.04

(9.12.3) Numerator: Water aspect

Select from:

Water withdrawn

(9.12.4) Denominator

Metric tons finished goods

(9.12.5) Comment

Total water withdrawn (in megaliters) divided by total weight finished goods (in metric tons)

[Add row]

(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

	Products contain hazardous substances	Comment
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Our products carry FDA authorization for food and beverage packaging and do not contain hazardous substances.

[Fixed row]

(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?

	Products and/or services classified as low water impact	Primary reason for not classifying any of your current products and/or services as low water impact	Please explain
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to address this within the next two years	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :To be evaluated within climate resilience plan.	To be evaluated within climate resilience plan.

[Fixed row]

(9.15.3) Why do you not have water-related target(s) and what are your plans to develop these in the future?

(9.15.3.1) Primary reason

Select from:

Other, please specify :We are in the process of establishing company-wide targets and goals, as well as business-level targets or goals, and intend to finalize by 2025.

(9.15.3.2) Please explain

We are in the process of collecting data to establish baselines; establishing measurement processes and tools; identifying potential opportunities for improvement; and considering future investment needs to help us achieve future goals.

[Fixed row]

C10. Environmental performance - Plastics

(10.1) Do you have plastics-related targets, and if so what type?

(10.1.1) Targets in place

Select from:

Yes

(10.1.2) Target type and metric

Plastic goods/products

- Increase the proportion of post-consumer recycled content in plastic goods/products
- Increase the proportion of renewable content from responsibly managed sources in plastic goods/products
- Increase the proportion of our goods/products that are recyclable in practice and at scale

(10.1.3) Please explain

Our goal is that 100% of the packaging products we sell will be made from recycled, recyclable or renewable materials by 2030, based on associated net revenue. In 2023, we reached approximately 66% of that goal.

[Fixed row]

(10.2) Indicate whether your organization engages in the following activities.

Production/commercialization of plastic polymers (including plastic converters)

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

No

(10.2.2) Comment

N/A

Production/commercialization of durable plastic goods and/or components (including mixed materials)

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

No

(10.2.2) Comment

N/A

Usage of durable plastics goods and/or components (including mixed materials)

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

No

(10.2.2) Comment

N/A

Production/commercialization of plastic packaging

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

Yes

(10.2.2) Comment

Pactiv Evergreen manufactures food and beverage packaging solutions.

Production/commercialization of goods/products packaged in plastics

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

Yes

(10.2.2) Comment

Pactiv Evergreen manufactures some finished goods that are packaged in plastic bags or sleeves for food safety purposes.

Provision/commercialization of services that use plastic packaging (e.g., food services)

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

No

(10.2.2) Comment

N/A

Provision of waste management and/or water management services

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

No

(10.2.2) Comment

N/A

Provision of financial products and/or services for plastics-related activities

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

No

(10.2.2) Comment

N/A

Other activities not specified

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

No

(10.2.2) Comment

N/A

[Fixed row]

(10.5) Provide the total weight of plastic packaging sold and/or used and indicate the raw material content.

	Total weight during the reporting year (Metric tons)	Raw material content percentages available to report	Please explain
Plastic packaging sold	653345	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None	Represents total weight of sold finished goods during the reporting year.
Plastic packaging used	0	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None	Data is not available.

[Fixed row]

(10.5.1) Indicate the circularity potential of the plastic packaging you sold and/or used.

	Percentages available to report for circularity potential	Please explain
Plastic packaging sold	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None	We do not have data available for reporting at this time.
Plastic packaging used	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None	We do not have data available for reporting at this time.

[Fixed row]

C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity

(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?

	Actions taken in the reporting period to progress your biodiversity-related commitments
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, we are not taking any actions to progress our biodiversity-related commitments, but we plan to within the next two years

[Fixed row]

(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?

	Does your organization use indicators to monitor biodiversity performance?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year?

	Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity	Comment
Legally protected areas	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not assessed	N/A
UNESCO World Heritage sites	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not assessed	N/A
UNESCO Man and the Biosphere Reserves	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not assessed	N/A
Ramsar sites	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not assessed	N/A
Key Biodiversity Areas	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not assessed	N/A
Other areas important for biodiversity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not assessed	N/A

[Fixed row]

C13. Further information & sign off

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?

	Other environmental information included in your CDP response is verified and/or assured by a third party	Primary reason why other environmental information included in your CDP response is not verified and/or assured by a third party	Explain why other environmental information included in your CDP response is not verified and/or assured by a third party
	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to obtain third-party verification/assurance of other environmental information in our CDP response within the next two years	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not an immediate strategic priority	<i>Not an immediate strategic priority.</i>

[Fixed row]

(13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.

	Additional information	Attachment (optional)
	<i>Please find attached our 2024 ESG Data Update.</i>	<i>2023 PTVE ESG Data Update.pdf</i>

[Fixed row]

(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.

(13.3.1) Job title

Chief Growth Officer

(13.3.2) Corresponding job category

Select from:

Other C-Suite Officer

[Fixed row]

